




Written by [Raven Clabough](#) on December 1, 2010

The Unintended, or Intended, Effects of Wikileaks?

While the benefits of the items revealed in some of the Wikileaks reports are debatable, one cannot help but wonder about the motives of Wikileaks' founder Julian Assange. According to conservative pundit Glenn Beck, the ultimate purpose of Wikileaks is to bring about necessary anarchy that would eventually lead to a restructuring of power.



On [June 9](#), at the America's Future Now conference, former Green Jobs Czar and anti-capitalist Van Jones talked about achieving the progressive dream:

You handle the top down, but it's also bottom up and inside out. Top down, bottom up, and inside out. So now, your challenge as you leave here, our challenge, is to take care of the bottom up part.

In other words, it's not simply enough to have progressives, socialists, and Marxists in positions of power. One must create chaos in order to provoke radicals at the bottom to rise up and revolt. In response to that, the average American would then turn to the government to help control the chaos and anarchy. Typically, governments have responded to chaos by taking greater control, resulting in the loss of individual liberties. Van Jones' statement is strongly reminiscent of the "pressure from above; pressure from below" strategy used by the Communists to gain control of Czechoslovakia, as described by Jan Kozak in his classic exposé, [And Not a Shot Is Fired](#).

Beck asserts that the Wikileaks are intended to create chaos.

While that may seem a bit of a stretch, Assange's own words expose some truth in Beck's assessment. Speaking on [April 18, 2010](#) in Berkeley, California, Assange revealed his belief that leaking confidential military information is an act of "anarchy":

Every time the *New York Times* uses a source to inform a story, it's like the source never existed in the first place, because it's basically an anarchist act. Leaking is basically an anarchist act, and to be a mainstream publication, you cannot be ionizing sources doing anarchist acts all the time.

When asked about how the information is interpreted, Assange's [response](#) revealed a mentality typically found among the progressive elitists:

It became very clear when we were releasing extremely significant material that we know for sure was true, that we were getting comments from some guy in suburban Texas sitting at home, a 14-year old, going 'Oh that's not true — completely fake', so very quickly we saw that we couldn't do that. The general population was not able to assess things properly.

Touting the "[accomplishments](#)" of Wikileaks, Assange said: "As a small organization with only 5 full time people, 800 volunteers and 10,000 people in our extended network, we have been able to do a hell of a lot. We have changed two governments, taken the scalp of a prime minister, and then taken the scalp of a defense minister, and many other reforms."



Written by [Raven Clabough](#) on December 1, 2010

If Assange's intentions are in fact to bring about chaos, and perhaps educate the uninformed masses in the way only elitists can, he disguises them by touting his [goal](#) as that of justice:

We are an activist organization. The method is transparency, the goal is justice. Part of the method is journalism. But it is our end goal to achieve justice.

[Glenn Beck](#) believes that there is much more to the Wikileaks than just transparency and justice, perhaps a goal of achieving the progressive dream.

First, Beck points out that the Wikileaks are supported by a number of shady individuals and organizations, including Code Pink, communist Noam Chomsky, Michael Moore, Daniel Ellsberg, and MDS — the adult counterpart of SDS.

Likewise, the informant for Wikileaks, 22-year old intelligence analyst Bradley Manning, has expressed that he hoped the fallout from the Wikileaks would ultimately lead to anarchy:

Everywhere there is a U.S. post, there is a diplomatic scandal that will be revealed. It's open diplomacy, worldwide anarchy in CSV format (a computer file format). It's a climategate with a global scope, and breathtaking depth. It's beautiful and horrifying.

Very few people would describe anarchy as "beautiful" and "horrifying."

Perhaps not surprisingly, Wikileaks also has some connections to globalist billionaire George Soros.

Manning's attorney is Mark Stevens, who also does pro-bono work for George Soros and the Open Society Institute.

Additionally, Assange negotiated with George Soros' Open Society Institute for funding for Wikileaks.

Likewise, Wikileaks wrote an award-winning report called *The City of Blood*, published by Soros' Kenya National Commission of Human Rights.

Furthermore, Beck notes, "Wikileaks once listed Soros connected Chinese human rights leader as one of its advisors.... They have since scrubbed the website. Too bad for Wikileaks and George Soros and everybody else that we have watchdogs that printed everything before it was scrubbed from the web."

It's important to note that Soros has long called for the implementation of a new world order, and has a history of taking down government regimes by adhering to a specific plan that relies heavily upon Van Jones theory of "Top Down, Bottom up, and Inside Out": 1. Create a Shadow Government (Top Down); 2. Control the Airwaves (Top Down); 3. Destabilize the state (Bottom Up); 4. Provoke an election crisis (Bottom Up); 5. Respond to the People's Call for Help and Take power (Inside Out). It is also worth mentioning that Soros has declared the United States to be a leading obstacle in the creation of his much desired new world order, which would explain Soros' favorable attitude towards anything that could help bring about his goal of taking the United States down a few notches.

Of course, George Soros' Open Society Foundation in August announced that they will not take a position on the Wikileaks documents except that it opposes all disclosures about identifiable citizens that would put them at risk.

However, the intended anarchy and chaos created by the Wikileaks seems to fall right in line with step 3 of Soros' plan — Destabilize the state (create anti-government sentiment.)

Beck warns that most of what is revealed by the Wikileaks' documents is information of which most interested and informed Americans are aware: we cannot trust Pakistan, should not trust the Saudis,



Written by [Raven Clabough](#) on December 1, 2010

China, Russia, or North Korea.

Instead, the documents are helping to foster “anarchy and chaos around the globe.”

What follows anarchy, but further government control, perhaps of the Internet, or of the individual.

“Just don’t cry for the top to come down and protect you, because they will,” Beck concludes.

Photo, rotator graphic and above: Founder of the WikiLeaks website, Julian Assange, speaks during a press conference in London, Oct. 23, 2010:

AP Images



Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



[Subscribe](#)

What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.