



Leninists Still Leading

This is part two of an interview by William F. Jasper, senior editor of THE NEW AMERICAN, with [the late] Christopher Story (left), editor of Soviet Analyst, an intelligence commentary, and editor of The Perestroika Deception by Anatoliy Golitsyn, the Soviet defector and author of New Lies for Old. The interview was conducted August 17, 1995 in the Presidio, San Francisco, outside the headquarters of the Gorbachev Foundation/USA. Part one, Dispelling Disinformation and part three, Red March to Global Tyranny, are also available online, along with a number of related articles that we have linked to at the end of this interview.



Q. *According to Anatoliy Golitsyn, “glasnost,” “perestroika,” and the reforms and upheavals we have been witnessing in the [former] Soviet Bloc represent controlled events which form part of a “Grand Strategy” rehearsed and planned decades ago. Could you explain the meaning of the phrase “strategic deception?”*

A. Golitsyn makes clear throughout [The Perestroika Deception](#) that the personalities on the stage of the so-called “former” Soviet Union are all secret members of the Communist Party, KGB officers, members of the huge Komsomol network numbering over 50 million, or members of the *nomenklatura* — or, at a lower level, *druzhiny* (vigilantes), who are used for staged demonstrations, televised provocations, and street events. As Golitsyn writes on page 19 of [The Perestroika Deception](#):

Lenin advised the Communists that they must be prepared to “resort to all sorts of stratagems, maneuvers, illegal methods, evasions and subterfuge” to achieve their objectives. This advice was given on the eve of his reintroduction of limited capitalism in Russia, in his work *Left Wing Communism*, an Infantile Disorder.

... Another speech of Lenin’s ... in July 1921 is again highly relevant to understanding “perestroika.” “Our only strategy at present,” wrote Lenin, “is to become stronger and, therefore, wiser, more reasonable, more opportunistic. The more opportunistic, the sooner will you again assemble the masses round you. When we have won over the masses by our reasonable approach, *we shall then apply offensive tactics in the strictest sense of the word.*” [Emphasis in original.]

If you examine the backgrounds of prominent Russian figures, you will find that they have long Communist Party/ KGB or Komsomol pedigrees. Yet for some inexplicable reason, the Western media have accepted their sudden, orchestrated, mass “conversion” to Western-style norms of behavior, their endless talk of “democracy,” and their acceptance of “capitalism,” as genuine. “Scratch these new, instant Soviet “democrats,” “anti-Communists,” and “nationalists” who have sprouted out of nowhere, and underneath will be found secret Party members or KGB agents,” Golitsyn writes on page 123 of his new book. In accepting at face value the “transformation” of these Leninist revolutionary Communists



Written by [William F. Jasper](#) on October 2, 1995

into “instant democrats,” the West automatically accepts as genuine the false “Break with the Past” — the single lie upon which the entire deception is based.

In short, the “former” Soviet Union — and the East European countries as well — are all run by people who are steeped in the dialectical *modus operandi* of Lenin. Without exception, they are all active Leninist revolutionaries, working collectively towards the establishment of a world Communist government, which, by definition, will be a world dictatorship.

It is difficult for the West to understand the Leninist Hegelian dialectical method — the creation of competing or successive opposites in order to achieve an intended outcome. Equally difficult for us to comprehend is the fact that these Leninist revolutionaries plan their strategies over decades and generations. This extraordinary behavior is naturally alien to Western politicians, who can see no further than the next election. Western politicians usually react to events. Leninist revolutionaries *create* events, in order to control reactions to them and manipulate their outcomes.

Before Gorbachev — acting on the instructions of the Leninist strategic collective — embarked upon *perestroika*, he achieved a breakthrough by convincing the former British Prime Minister, Mrs. Thatcher, that he was someone she could do business with. This was done by personal contact, and through the intermediation of a dispatched defector, Oleg Gordievsky, his role being to reassure the British government that Gorbachev was “genuine.” in her book *The Downing Street Years*, Lady Thatcher even admits that she mistook Gorbachev’s style for the substance. I explain this in my introduction to Golitsyn’s new book: “As he cast his spell [over Mrs. Thatcher], Gorbachev unlocked the key to the control of the Western mind — and to the restructuring of the entire world. The West followed Lady Thatcher’s prompting, mistaking the style for the substance. The disastrous consequences of this millennial error are now crowding in upon Western civilization, threatening its very survival.”

The purpose of *perestroika*, culminating in the “Break with the Past,” has been to convince the gullible West that Communism is dead, that the Soviet Union has collapsed, and that we are friends, not enemies anymore — a lie which was duly embedded in the Joint Declaration of Twenty-Two States, signed by Western and Warsaw Pact leaders on November 19, 1990. The Declaration asserted that the signatories are “no longer adversaries,” and represented the culmination of the deception which had been managed for Western public consumption by Gorbachev’s close KGB associate, Georgi Arbatov. Since publishing an article in the June 1988 issue of *Kommunist*, in which he said that “the *image* of the enemy” was being eroded and was vanishing, Arbatov had repeated this message at every opportunity. Of course, as a trained Leninist revolutionary who followed Lenin’s advice to his associates to use language deceptively, Arbatov meant that *the enemy would continue to exist*. It was only his image which was to “vanish.”

The trick worked. The West foolishly and recklessly ignored Arbatov’s repeated mention of the phrase “the *image* of the enemy,” and jumped to the hazardous and unwarranted conclusion that *the enemy himself* was disappearing.

After the West had bought the discontinuity deception, it readily accepted its corollary — namely, that a peaceful future for all mankind could only be assured through open-ended “cooperation.” But in fact lasting “cooperation” with these Leninist revolutionaries is impossible, since their purpose is to dominate, control, and destroy us. The “cooperation” theme forms only one element of an equation which can be summarized as “cooperation/blackmail.” In other words, the secret Leninist revolutionaries have told the West to “cooperate — or else.” The blackmail element of this evil equation



Written by [William F. Jasper](#) on October 2, 1995

was made explicit by Gorbachev when he delivered his sinister “end of the Cold War” speech at Fulton, Missouri, a theatrical occasion at the location where Winston Churchill had delivered his famous speech announcing that Stalin had imposed an Iron Curtain across the center of Europe. Gorbachev’s speech was sinister because it contained a menu of “conditions” on the basis of which the Soviet Union would be willing to “cooperate” with the West, plus several more or less explicit threats of world war if we failed to cooperate as instructed.

Of course, the Western media failed completely to understand the significance of the speech — just as today it fails to alert us to the war preparations the Russians are conducting in close collaboration with the Communist Chinese; and just as it has failed to question why, as a Reuters report noted on August 13, 1995, the “former USSR” maintains “dozens of closed military cities.” The fact is that the West does not know what goes on in the dozens of closed secret military and nuclear cities. The press should be asking how this squares with the rhetoric that the “former” Soviet Union is no longer an adversary or a threat.

Gorbachev’s Fulton speech contained the directives of the secret Leninist revolutionaries, with which the West was required to comply. If the required cooperation did not materialize, then this “window of opportunity” would close, and would not be likely to recur in our lifetime — so that the consequences for humanity could be grave in the extreme. The threatening tone was blatant, and the West proceeded to comply.

Q. Describe the Soviet “convergence” strategy.

A. This is the central objective towards which the secret Leninist revolutionaries are working. Their purpose has been to dismantle overt Communism, to establish apparently “normal” relations with the West, to remove travel restrictions so that large numbers of their agents would be accepted into Western societies, and to “cooperate” with the West — in parallel with the West dropping its antagonistic stance, dismantling its military power, collectivizing its security arrangements, and signing bilateral and multilateral treaties and accords with the “former” Soviet Bloc.

But the West does not understand that these Leninist revolutionaries intend that “convergence” is to be achieved on *their* (Communist) terms, not on ours. The Leninist meaning of “convergence” is that the West is to “converge” towards the Communists, contrary to the naive belief of Western policy-makers and political establishments.

In *New Lies for Old*, Golitsyn explained the detailed preparations for “convergence,” and predicted that it would form the central theme of the forthcoming false “liberalization.” He pointed out that the most prominent agent of influence preparing the West for *perestroika* was the nuclear scientist and controlled “dissident” Andrei Sakharov. He was the primary advance salesman for “convergence.”

Today, the West erroneously believes that open-ended cooperation with these “former” Communists will lead to a peaceful world. That is not their intention. Their purpose is to *control* the world. They are proceeding towards this objective by eroding national sovereignty in accordance with Lenin’s *diktat* that the state is to “wither away.”

All contemporary collective political arrangements — the so-called European Union; the North American Free Trade Area; the Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe, an intergovernmental agreement requiring the pooling of military intelligence and other anti-state measures; and new regional blocs like the South African Development Community, which has set Southern Africa on the road to integrated security, military and foreign policies, and seeks to usurp



Written by [William F. Jasper](#) on October 2, 1995

national sovereignty in the region — all are devices designed to undermine the state in order to replace nations with regional blocs which are to be the components of a world government. The destruction of national sovereignty is the paramount objective, since as long as nation states continue to exist, world government cannot be established.

Q. *How is it possible for the strategists to plan, execute, and maintain the internal coherence of such a massive, long-term global deception, while retaining essential control in the “former” Communist countries, and yet actually appear to relax many of the features of the police state, and introduce relaxation of restrictions on travel, allow ‘Tree “publishing, and so forth ?*

A. The first part of the answer is that, as Golitsyn explains, the Leninist strategists are capable of planning and executing strategy over prolonged periods — that is to say, over decades and for periods of a generation or more. They refer openly to the strategy, without throwing any light on it, as “the general line.” The *apparatchik* Viktor Chernomyrdin, speaking on the “Russia” TV Channel in December 1992, shortly after his appointment as Russian Premier, alluded deliberately to this “general line,” asserting not only its existence but its inherent flexibility, without revealing its content, when he said: “My colleagues in the government who are working today will pursue this line. The planned line. The one which has been worked out Life makes amendments to our program, additions, perhaps changes. But we will keep to the basic line.”

This, as indicated, was an explicit, authoritative affirmation of the existence of the established long-range strategy — one of the most important post-Gorbachev confirmations of the absolute accuracy of Golitsyn’s analysis.

The Leninist strategists are capable of planning over decades. The West has no ability to plan beyond the next election, and little ability to do so even between elections. We have absolutely no concept of long-term strategy. In fact, we have no strategy at all; by which I mean, in the case of Britain, for example, a strategy for national survival, for the indefinite retention of our national sovereignty, or a strategy based upon any proper understanding of our country’s inalienable national interests.

Not only do these Leninist strategists plan for the long-term, but they can operate long-term because they share the same collective purpose. As we have seen, the implementers of the strategy are professional secret Party members, KGB officers, and indoctrinated, purposeful revolutionary implementers of instructions. They are a disciplined, determined cadre. As Golitsyn told the CIA in March 1989, “the Soviet Party apparatus will become a true general staff of world revolution to be carried out through the strategy of ‘*perestroika*.’”

Q. *We have been told that the KGB was dissolved and is a shadow of its former self. How true is this?*

A. The reverse is the case. The KGB has undergone a number of “label changes” since the “Break with the Past,” as it had done under all Communist leaderships since Lenin first established the Extraordinary Commissions for Combating Counter-Revolution (*Che-Ka’s*), instructing his murderous henchman, Dzerzhinsky, to open the jails and to recruit sadists, murderers, rapists, and other criminals into the ranks of the *Che-Ka’s*.

Today, state security personnel proudly refer to themselves as Chekists. After the fake “August coup,” Vadim Bakatin, allegedly a “liberal” who has more recently helped to “explain” matters for Western public consumption, was appointed head of the KGB in place of Vladimir Krychkov, whose strategy had called for the replacement of himself. Bakatin was allowed to function for precisely 107 days, before being removed in favor of Viktor Barannikov, who had previously served as a KGB *gauleiter* in the



Written by [William F. Jasper](#) on October 2, 1995

Caucasus, where he stirred up ethnic unrest.

In his new “helpful” role, Bakatin has described the KGB as “an independent force with its own interests and, objectively, it has become an institution positioned above the highest powers and decision-making organs of the Union and the Republics.”

This statement, which has been widely quoted, contains important dis-information, and is only partially correct. The Communist Party and the KGB have, since the late 1950s, repenetrated each other, so that they “share the same bloodstream.” It is impossible for the KGB to function without the oversight and participation of the Party, while the Party owes its existence (whether overt or underground) to the KGB (“the Organs”).

Bakatin is unreliable and suspect, because in the above statement he promotes a primary deception theme, on which the “Grand Deception” itself depends — a theme which has been repeated by Western analysts. Because everyone knows that the KGB continues to function and has greater powers than ever, the strategists’ apologists refer openly to this fact — but, crucially, stress that the KGB “acts alone.” It does not. It acts in secret collaboration, as always, with the Communists, who direct its activities. By implying that “the Organs” are a power unto themselves, Soviet disinformation has “separated” the “democratists” from the KGB — leaving the field clear for the continuing deception that they are true democratic parliamentarians, whom the West must support to the hilt, in order to “preclude the return of Communism” — which, in reality, has been in control all along.

Article Series

[Part 1: Dispelling Disinformation](#)

[Part 3: Red March To Global Tyranny](#)

Related articles:

[Convergence: Globalists Push Russia-EU Merger](#)

[Brzezinski Sketches “Strategic Vision” for Putin’s KGB-Run Media](#)

[Kissinger, Putin and the New World Order](#)

[Kissinger Sings Convergence Theme With China’s “Red Song” Choir](#)

[U.S., Russia “Reset” the Convergence Agenda](#)

[Putin Recharged: What Now for Russia — and the World?](#)

[Pixie Dust, Treason, & Spies](#)

[Council On Foreign Relations](#)

[Decades of Suicidal Policies Vis-à-vis Russia and China](#)



Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



[Subscribe](#)

What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.