Archbishop Viganò: War in Ukraine Is Part of Globalist Plan for "Establishment of the Tyranny of the New World **Order**"

Archbishop Carlo Maria Viganò — well known for his exposure of the both the Deep State and the Deep Church — has published an op-ed piece saying the Russian invasion of Ukraine and the subsequent war are part of a globalist agenda to "establish the tyranny of the new world order."

Viganò, who has served as the Holy See's Apostolic Nuncio (ambassador) to the United States, begins his piece by laying out basic principles, such as, "Nothing is lost with peace. All can be lost with war." He addresses the preferable value of avoiding war by "negotiating with good will and with respect for each other's rights" and "leaving reason to its proper domain" so that men may "spare their brothers bloodshed and their homeland ruin."

War — as has aptly been said — is hell. And Viganò makes a case that this war was conceived in Hell.


He asserts that the *true* purpose of this war — real or imagined grievances between Russia and Ukraine notwithstanding — is the establishment of tyranny under the totalitarianism of the New World Order. And he says the mainstream media are — as almost always — the voice of the globalists putting forth a narrative that says that this war was unavoidable. Viganò writes:

If we look at what is happening in Ukraine, without being misled by the gross falsifications of the mainstream media, we realize that respect for each other's rights has been completely ignored; indeed, we have the impression that the Biden Administration, NATO and the European Union deliberately want to maintain a situation of obvious imbalance, precisely to make impossible any attempt at a peaceful resolution of the Ukrainian crisis, provoking the Russian Federation to trigger a conflict. Herein lies the seriousness of the problem. This is the trap set for both Russia and Ukraine, using both of them to enable the globalist elite to carry out its criminal plan.


Comparing the silencing of all dissenting voices where this war is concerned to the same tactic used "during the so-called pandemic," Viganò writes:

It should not surprise us that pluralism and freedom of speech, so praised in countries that

AP Images













claim to be democratic, are daily disavowed by censorship and intolerance towards opinions not aligned with the official narrative. Manipulations of this kind have become the norm during the so-called pandemic, to the detriment of doctors, scientists and dissenting journalists, who have been discredited and ostracized for the mere fact of daring to question the effectiveness of experimental serums. Two years later, the truth about the adverse effects and the unfortunate management of the health emergency has proven them right, but the truth is stubbornly ignored because it does not correspond to what the system wanted and still wants today.

He goes on to people should question why "the world media" who have "so far been able to lie shamelessly on a matter of strict scientific relevance, spreading lies and hiding reality" should "suddenly rediscover" a sense of (and respect for) "that intellectual honesty and respect for the code of ethics" that same media "widely denied with COVID."

The answer is in the question. The mainstream media have shown they are not to be trusted. And while believing them about COVID led to economic disaster and the loss of freedom, believing them about this war may well lead to all of that plus death tolls that cause the world to look back on COVID as the good old days.

Viganò bravely calls out the various "tentacles" of the "oligarchy" — such as the "UN, NATO, the World Economic Forum, the European Union," as well as "philanthropic" organizations such as "George Soros' Open Society and the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation" — as being behind the circumstances that pushed Russia and Ukraine to war, writing:

Even if we only want to limit our investigation to the economic aspect, we understand that news agencies, politics and public institutions themselves depend on a small number of financial groups belonging to an oligarchy that, significantly, is united not only by money and power, but by the ideological affiliation that guides its action and interference in the politics of nations and the whole world. This oligarchy shows its tentacles in the UN, NATO, the World Economic Forum, the European Union, and in "philanthropic" institutions such as George Soros' Open Society and the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation.

He goes on in his indictment of "these entities," writing that they "are private and answer to no one but themselves, and at the same time they have the power to influence national governments, including through their own representatives who are made to be elected or appointed to key posts."

He asserts that these "tentacles" of the "oligarchy" admit their unquestionable power over nations "when they are received with all the honors by heads of state and world leaders, respected and feared by these leaders as the true masters of the fate of the world." And he writes that "those who hold power in the name of the 'people' find themselves trampling on the people's will and restricting their rights, in order to be obedient courtiers to masters whom nobody has elected but who nevertheless dictate their political and economic agenda to the nations."

And while Russia is cast in the role of the villain and Ukraine plays the hero in the drama the mainstream media are playing for the world to see, Viganò points out that it is not quite that simple. While Russia is likely villainous, Ukraine may not be quite so heroic as the mainstream media and western governments claim:





It is dismaying to see with what hypocrisy the European Union and the United States — Brussels and Washington — are giving their unconditional support to President Zelensky, whose government for eight years now has continued to persecute Russian-speaking Ukrainians with impunity, for whom it is even forbidden to speak in their own language, in a nation that includes numerous ethnic groups, of which those who speak Russian represent 17.2%. And it is scandalous that they are silent about the use of civilians as human shields by the Ukrainian army, which places anti-aircraft positions inside population centers, hospitals, schools and kindergartens precisely so that their destruction can cause deaths among the population.

Referring to the "brainwashing carried out by the mainstream media in Western nations," Viganò writes that it has "succeeded in conveying a completely distorted narrative of reality" in the West, but "the same cannot be said for Ukraine, where the population is well aware of the corruption of the political class in power as well as of its remoteness from the real problems of the Ukrainian nation."

He goes on to write:

We in the West believe that the "oligarchs" are only in Russia, while the reality is that they are present above all throughout the entire galaxy of nations that formerly composed the Soviet Union, where they can accumulate wealth and power simply by placing themselves at the disposition of foreign "philanthropists" and multinational corporations.

Further, the leadership of Ukraine seems to realize — at least to some degree — the true purpose of this current war. As Viganò writes:

It is evident that the Ukrainian people, beyond the issues that diplomacy can resolve, are victims of the same global coup d'état being carried out by supranational powers that intend, not peace between nations, but rather the establishment of the tyranny of the New World Order. Just a few days ago, Ukrainian parliamentarian Kira Rudik told Fox News, while holding a kalashnikov: "We know that we are not only fighting for Ukraine, but also for the New World Order."

And America is not off the hook, either. Viganò asserts that the Russia/Ukraine war is being used by globalists to expand the global empire, of which the United States is a major player, writing:

First of all, it is necessary to remember the facts, which do not lie and are not susceptible to alteration. And the facts, however irritating they are to recall to those who try to censor them, tell us that since the fall of the Berlin Wall the United States has extended its sphere of political and military influence to almost all the satellite states of the former Soviet Union, even recently, annexing into NATO Poland, the Czech Republic, and Hungary (1999); Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania, Slovenia, Slovakia, Bulgaria and Romania (2004); Albania and Croatia (2009); Montenegro (2017); and North Macedonia (2020). The North Atlantic Treaty Organization is preparing to expand to Ukraine, Georgia, Bosnia and Herzegovina, and Serbia. Practically speaking, the Russian Federation is under military threat — from weapons and missile bases — just a few kilometers from its borders, while it has no military base in similar proximity to the United States.





To be considering the possible expansion of NATO into Ukraine, without thinking that it will arouse Russia's legitimate protests, is nothing short of puzzling, especially given the fact that in 1991 NATO pledged to the Kremlin not to expand further. Not only that: at the end of 2021, Der Spiegel published drafts of a treaty with the United States and an agreement with NATO on security guarantees (<u>here, here</u> and <u>here</u>). Moscow demanded legal guarantees from its Western partners that would prevent NATO from further eastward expansion by adding Ukraine to the alliance and also from establishing military bases in post-Soviet countries. The proposals also contained a clause on the non-deployment of offensive weapons by NATO near Russia's borders and on the withdrawal of NATO forces in Eastern Europe back to their 1997 positions.

As we can see, NATO has failed to keep its commitments to Russia, or has at least forced the situation at a very delicate moment for geopolitical balances. We should ask ourselves why the United States — or rather the American deep state which regained power after the electoral fraud that brought Joe Biden to the White House — wants to create tensions with Russia and involve its European partners in the conflict, with all the consequences we can imagine.

As Americans feel led to choose sides in the conflict between two nations halfway around the world, *neither of which is the United States*, Viganò's reflections on that war should cause those Americans to stop and think. The position America should take is that of our Founding Fathers — avoid entangling alliances. Period. Considering Viganò's exhortation to "remember the facts, which do not lie and are not susceptible to alteration," and which show that America has — under the control of the Deep State — been a major player in the creation and expansion of the global empire, it becomes apparent that if America would remember her roots and her calling to be a "city on a hill," the global empire would lose an ally and gain a formidable enemy.

And the first step that renewed America would take would be to begin again to mind her own business. The second step would closely follow: End all entangling alliances — get out of the United Nations, NATO, etc., and never again sacrifice national sovereignty to <u>chase the Demiurge</u> of global power.



Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



Subscribe

What's Included?

24 Issues Per Year Optional Print Edition Digital Edition Access Exclusive Subscriber Content Audio provided for all articles Unlimited access to past issues Coming Soon! Ad FREE 60-Day money back guarantee! Cancel anytime.