



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on December 8, 2021

## WWIII Over Ukraine, Courtesy of People Who Won't Seal Our Border?

While our southern-border invasion continues not just unabated but with the act-of-omission aid and abetment of the Biden administration, foreign borders are often considered sacrosanct. One example is Ukraine's border with Russia — in fact, establishment Democrats and Republicans claim they may be willing to go to war with Moscow over it.

What's more, one senator actually mentioned our first-strike nuclear capability when discussing the matter.

Welcome to *Dr. Strangelove* foreign policy.



Aquir/iStock/Getty Images Plus

As for putting up our nukes, Senator Roger Wicker (R-Miss.) said during a recent interview with Fox News host Neil Cavuto, while discussing Russia's massing of troops on Ukraine's border, "Do you know we don't rule out first-use nuclear action?" Wicker's office later issued a clarification stating that the senator was discussing general U.S. policy and not anything specifically related to Russia. While believable — Wicker's was likely a loose comment — the rest of his remarks were also troubling, and they reflect widely held inside-the-beltway sentiment.

To wit: "Well, military action could mean that we stand off with our ships in the Black Sea and we rain destruction ... on Russian military capability," the *Independent* [related](#) him as also telling Cavuto. "It could mean that we participate, and I would not rule that out, I would not rule out American troops on the ground."

In addition, there are people in both major parties pushing to have Ukraine become part of NATO, with its "an attack on one is an attack on all" policy. This means that if Russia then invaded Ukraine, the U.S. and Western Europe would be obligated to intervene militarily.

Apparently, our pseudo-elites just love advancing those "[Doomsday Clock](#)" hands, which recently were set at 100 seconds to midnight for the first time ever.

Of course, the current war cries could just be bluster designed to make Russian president Vladimir Putin think twice. But the question is: Are our leaders thinking at all? Effectively, that is?

Moreover, just "because something seems far-fetched, or it seems crazy, or it seems totally destructive to core American interests doesn't mean the U.S. government won't do it," warned Fox News commentator Tucker Carlson last night. "That's the main lesson at the moment we're living in."

"So with that in mind, do not discount, no matter how far-fetched it may seem, a hot war with [Russia](#)," the host continued.

Here's another thought to keep you awake: Irrational though such as move would be, is it any crazier than when authorities insist on reordering civilization over a virus that more than 99.9 percent of the infected will survive? And if the powers-that-be are willing to kill hundreds of thousands of Americans



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on December 8, 2021

---

via bad COVID policy (demonizing effective treatments), what would they do to combat the Putin variant?

Carlson points out that we have nothing to gain and everything to lose by confronting Russia militarily. Yet Joe Biden is unpopular ([wag the dog?](#)). More significantly, he's a puppet controlled by people who "are pushing the United States to get involved militarily," states the commentator. "Among the many, many ironies here is the Ukraine crisis was largely created by [Joe Biden's](#) own aides and many people like them throughout all levels of the U.S. government."

Carlson proceeded to say that Putin's issue is NATO, which, with the USSR gone, has outlived its usefulness. "So at this point, NATO exists primarily to torment Vladimir Putin who, whatever his many faults, has no intention of invading Western Europe," Carlson stated. "[Vladimir Putin](#) does not want Belgium. He just wants to keep his western borders secure."

The pundit then elaborated:

That's why he doesn't want Ukraine to join NATO. And that makes sense. Imagine how we would feel if Mexico and Canada became satellites of [China](#). We wouldn't like that at all. In Russia's case, this is an existential question. A NATO takeover of Ukraine would compromise Russia's access to its Sevastopol Naval Base — that's the site of the Russia Black Sea's fleet and one of the country's only connections to international waters. In the words of Russia scholar Richard Sakwa, if Russia lost the Sevastopol Naval Base, it would be "the biggest military geopolitical defeat of Russia in the last thousand years."

In contrast, we have no national interest in Ukraine, explained Carlson (except in not letting a conflict become a wider war). Despite this, many Democrats and Republicans advocate intervention.

For example, Secretary of State Tony Blinken's spokesman, Ned Price, [has warned](#), "If Russia chooses to fail to deescalate, if Russia chooses to move forward with any plans it may have developed to continue its military aggression or to aggress militarily upon Ukraine, to violate Ukraine's sovereignty, its independence, its territorial integrity, we and our allies would be prepared to act. We would be prepared to act resolutely."

Carlson pointed out the irony of this, sarcastically saying that "territorial integrity" matters because

if there's one thing that the [Biden White House](#) cares about its secure borders. At least in Eastern Europe where borders are not racist. Ukraine's borders must be defended. It would be immoral to open those borders to the world and allow, say, tens of thousands of unemployed Haitians to pour across. We can't allow that. In fact, we will send American troops to Ukraine to prevent that. [Open borders](#) are only permitted in Texas, Arizona and California and anywhere else the potential Democratic voters might arrive uninvited from the Third World .

Carlson later added that the type of people "who worked to organize a coup in Ukraine [are] telling us we need to go to war with Russia to preserve democracy in Ukraine. These people have no shame" (video below).

All this said, the bottom line is that this isn't about whether you love, hate, or are indifferent regarding Putin or whether Russia is right or wrong on Ukraine. It's about this:



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on December 8, 2021

---

Putin [has said](#) that NATO troop and weapons deployment to Ukraine is a “red line” for him. Of course it is. Just as we couldn’t back down during the Cuban Missile Crisis in 1962, Russia wouldn’t back down on Ukraine. The same is true of China vis-à-vis Taiwan.

Like it or not, you must tread softly in another great power’s backyard. Enter it and refuse to back down, and war will almost assuredly result because that power can’t realistically back down. Doing so would mean losing face and sending the ultimate message of weakness. For if you can be pushed around at your own doorstep, in what way can’t you be dominated?

[Sanpaku-eyed](#) congressman Adam Schiff (D-Calif.) actually [said](#) last year that we aid “Ukraine and her people so that we can fight Russia over there, and we don’t have to fight Russia here.” But we already have a war here. The enemy isn’t Russia, however, but a certain kind of people who, though having our accents, clothes, and face are as alien as any foreign foe — and far more dangerous.



## Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



[Subscribe](#)

### What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.