



# Obama Budget Supersizes U.S. Funding for UN, Global Military

At a time of soaring U.S. budget deficits, brutal economic hardship for tens of millions of Americans, and unprecedented levels of federal spending, the Obama administration is asking Congress to drastically increase U.S. funding for the scandal-plagued United Nations and its oftentimes ruthless so-called international "peacekeeping" troops.

However, rather than rewarding the widely criticized "dictators' club" with more borrowed or confiscated funds, critics say U.S. lawmakers should cut off all funding to the UN — and eventually withdraw from the sovereignty-subverting outfit altogether.



In its fiscal year 2015 budget request, which seeks almost \$4 trillion in spending overall, the Obama administration is asking Congress to approve a massive 33-percent hike in U.S. taxpayer handouts to the UN and other international organizations. In addition, the White House is pushing "contributions" to so-called global "peacekeeping" schemes that are a full 43 percent larger than last year, sparking outrage from critics.

Most of the proposed increase in UN military funding, the administration says, will go to deploying even more UN troops to prop up the dubious regimes ruling war-torn African nations such as Mali, South Sudan, the Central African Republic, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, and more. The self-styled global military already has about 100,000 UN troops and "police" deployed across 15 "missions," along with more than 15,000 civilians. Apparently, though, the UN and Obama want more.

Of course, the Orwellian-sounding UN "peacekeeping" forces — the infamous "blue helmets" would be more appropriately referred to as international war-making troops — have become loathed around the world. Among the <u>most serious problems associated with the global soldiers under UN command:</u> widespread brutality, human-rights abuses, sexual exploitation of civilians, mass murder, spreading deadly diseases, brazen corruption, impunity, ruthlessly <u>propping up illegitimate UN-backed regimes</u>, and more. By late 2013, the stench of scandal and horror around UN "peace" forces was so overbearing that even the establishment press around the world was forced to report it.

Last year, though, the U.S. government still sent over \$1.75 billion to prop up the UN's fledgling armed forces and their lawless "missions" around the globe — on top of paying for almost a quarter of the UN's budget. In response to the perpetually ballooning scandals surrounding the international warmaking troops, the Obama administration now hopes to reward them with more than \$2.5 billion borrowed from abroad or extracted from U.S. taxpayers.

The UN and other international outfits, meanwhile, would receive more than \$4 billion under the White House request, not including the huge expenditures for the global military. In a perfect example of Obama's deceitful scheming, instead of ending the "Overseas Contingency Operations" (OCO)



## Written by **Alex Newman** on April 4, 2014



expenditures that were sold as a temporary measure to pay for U.S. wars abroad over the last decade, the administration is trying to preserve that funding — and divert it to UN "peacekeeping" operations.

"This appropriation provides funds for the United States to support unforeseen requirements of peacekeeping operations and activities, including peace enforcement missions [sic] undertaken directly by the United Nations, or by regional coalition forces," explains the relevant section of the administration's budget request. Obama is seeking \$150 million for that particular plot, in addition to the \$2.5 billion for regular UN "peacekeeping" and another \$235 million for the military forces of illegitimate "regional bodies" such as the "African Union."

In the meantime, the UN is <u>working to come up with a palatable pretext for global taxation</u>, thereby freeing it from having to operate on "contributions" taken from taxpayers indirectly via member governments and dictatorships. According to analysts, though, even without the highly sought after planetary tax regime, UN "revenue" almost tripled in the decade between 2002 and 2012. Why it would need even more funding from struggling U.S. taxpayers and a federal government that is already drowning the public in debt remains unclear.

At the same time, with help from top Obama administration figures like John Podesta, the UN is working to advance plots <u>targeting even the "behavior" and "worldview" of every last person on Earth for "dramatic" modification</u>, official UN documents show. Indeed, in addition to demanding trillions from Western taxpayers under various guises — "global warming," supposedly "fighting" poverty, "sustainable development," and more — the UN is positioning itself to become a <u>full-fledged global government</u>. It has also become increasingly brazen in its open assaults on the <u>U.S. Constitution</u>, <u>state sovereignty</u>, <u>individual liberty</u>, <u>the will of American voters</u>, and <u>more</u>.

Justifying its call for massively expanding the amount of funds extracted from U.S. taxpayers for the UN, the White House claimed implausibly that it was somehow in America's "national interest" to squander more money it does not have on dictator-dominated globalist organizations notorious for seeking to undermine U.S. sovereignty. "The administration is committed to robust multilateral engagement and to promoting U.S. leadership in international organizations as a means of advancing U.S. national security interests and values," the request claims. At the same time, the administration is working overtime to "reform" the IMF, which globalists are grooming to become a planetary central bank, by vastly expanding the resources at its disposal while giving up U.S. influence at the outfit.

The 2015 budget request also seeks almost \$50 billion for unconstitutional "foreign aid" via just the U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID), which is used to bribe foreign powers while also funding domestic projects to attack free markets. "Mindful of fiscal constraints, this budget provides American taxpayers a remarkable return on their investment in American leadership," the State Department claimed, apparently without intending to sound facetious. The federal government, of course, is not constitutionally authorized to distribute foreign aid or to fund attacks on the U.S. Constitution and American independence via the UN.

Unsurprisingly, globalists and UN apparatchiks celebrated the Obama administration's request for vastly more funding to the international outfit and its global military wing. Vice President for Public Policy Peter Yeo with the extremist "UN Foundation," for example, even claimed that the \$2.5 billion for global "peacekeeping" schemes "would make real progress on getting the U.S. out of the red at the UN and back in good standing with our allies and international partners."

In other words, Yeo was transparently implying that U.S. taxpayers somehow "owe" their wealth and



## Written by **Alex Newman** on April 4, 2014



the fruits of their labor to the UN's armed forces. In a bizarre twist of reasoning, Yeo, who works with the "Better World Campaign" as well, also suggested that if the UN did not fight the wars demanded by globalists, the U.S. government would have to fight them alone. It was not clear whether he thought the American people and their elected representatives ought to have a say in that, despite the Constitution's requirement that all wars be declared by Congress.

Among Americans, the UN is quickly becoming increasingly unpopular. Among other concerns, critics cite the outfit's coddling of dictators and putting them on the cartoonishly ridiculous "Human Rights Council;" seeking to expand its powers even faster; working to curtail freedom worldwide, attacking the U.S. Constitution; trying to undermine self-defense rights; entangling America's armed forces in dubious foreign wars; and more.

Often dubbed the "dictators' club" by critics due to the largely autocratic composition of its membership, the UN has also sparked alarm by becoming increasingly outspoken <u>about its vision of "global governance."</u> Its radical agenda, described in <u>countless documents</u>, <u>speeches</u>, and <u>reports</u> covered by *The New American*, is <u>completely at odds with God-given individual rights and Judeo-Christian values</u>.

It is time for real change. Instead of saddling the American people with even more odious debt to the Communist Chinese regime and the privately owned Federal Reserve to prop up and reward the out-of-control UN, lawmakers should defund the radical international outfit altogether. Legislation currently in Congress, H.R. 75: American Sovereignty Restoration Act, would end all U.S. participation in the UN, including all handouts to the outfit from American taxpayers. Introduced by Rep. Paul Broun (R-Ga.), the bill has nine co-sponsors so far.

The timing to get the U.S. government out of the UN, which would set the globalists back decades, could not be better. And with more public pressure and awareness, that goal may not be too far off.

Photo: AP Images

Alex Newman is a correspondent for The New American, covering economics, education, politics, and more. He can be reached at <u>anewman@thenewamerican.com</u>. Follow him on Twitter <u>@ALEXNEWMAN\_JOU</u>.

#### Related articles:

UN "Peacekeeping" Troops Face Scandals on Sex Crimes, Corruption

Obama Urged Governors to Celebrate UN Day

New U.S. Ambassador to UN: U.S. Should Give Up a "Pinch of Sovereignty"

Ruthless Tyrants Win Seats on UN "Human Rights" Council

**UN Troops Accused of Sex Crimes Worldwide** 

UN-backed Forces Slaughter Christians in Ivory Coast

The United Nations: On the Brink of Becoming a World Government

UN Demands Obama "Nullify" Stand Your Ground Laws

The Real Agenda Behind UN "Sustainability" Unmasked

UN Plotting to "Dramatically Alter" Your Views and Behavior





## **Subscribe to the New American**

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



# **Subscribe**

#### What's Included?

24 Issues Per Year
Optional Print Edition
Digital Edition Access
Exclusive Subscriber Content
Audio provided for all articles
Unlimited access to past issues
Coming Soon! Ad FREE
60-Day money back guarantee!
Cancel anytime.