



Written by [Alex Newman](#) on May 6, 2013

## Despite Pledges, Obama Put U.S. Troops in Mali

Without obtaining permission from Congress and despite [repeatedly vowing not to put U.S. boots on the ground](#) in Mali, the Obama administration has already deployed a small contingent of American troops to help international forces prop up the ruling regime in the capital city of Bamako, which seized power in a coup.

According to a [report](#) in the *Washington Post*, the president sent the U.S. soldiers to provide supposed “liaison support” to French and African troops battling separatist rebels in the north as part of a [deeply controversial United Nations-backed operation](#). There are strong indications that American Special Forces are on the ground as well.

In addition to [other forms of support](#) such as transporting troops, intelligence sharing, re-fueling assistance, and more, Obama has reportedly deployed some two dozen U.S. soldiers to Mali. Of those, about 10 are providing what the *Post* called “liaison support,” while the others were apparently assigned to protect the American embassy in Bamako as the Malian conflict continues to rage on.

Lt. Col. Robert Firman, a spokesman for the Pentagon, claimed the U.S. troops were not engaged in combat operations. However, those claims are in doubt as well. The *Post* and other publications reported on evidence that U.S. Special Operations forces have been deployed on secret missions for some time.

One of the indicators: a “mysterious” car crash in Bamako that killed three American soldiers last year. The subject of secret operations has also come up in congressional hearings, with a congressman asking U.S. Special Operations Command chief Adm. William McRaven whether his forces were coordinating with French troops to avoid “shooting each other.”

Adm. McRaven responded: “There is very close coordination on the ground.”

The news that U.S. troops are on the ground comes in stark contrast to various statements issued by top administration officials in recent months. As *The New American* [reported](#) in January shortly after [the Socialist French government invaded Mali](#) with [UN support](#), for example, then-Defense Secretary Leon Panetta said there was “no consideration of putting any American boots on the ground at this time.”

A month later, then-Assistant Secretary of State for African Affairs Johnnie Carson — the top diplomat for Africa — offered similar remarks in front of a House Committee. “We are assisting the French and we are assisting the Africans, but we have no intentions of putting boots on the ground or engaging our forces militarily there,” he claimed.

Aside from the lack of congressional or constitutional authority to meddle in Mali, the administration is





Written by [Alex Newman](#) on May 6, 2013

also prohibited by federal law from supporting the current regime in Bamako, which came to power last year [amid a military coup led by a U.S.-trained officer](#). Like on a wide range of other issues, however, [Congress, the Constitution](#), and federal law have been unable to restrain the president so far — especially when it comes to [waging illegal wars](#) and [propping up foreign governments or “rebels.”](#)

Incredibly, the administration claims it can “legally” support French and African forces that are supporting the coup regime, even though it is prohibited under federal law from directly supporting the illegitimate rulers in Bamako. It remains unclear how the U.S. government reached such a conclusion on bypassing the ban, but the fact that the coup government in Bamako is benefiting from American support is indisputable.

Earlier this year, for example, Obama purported to “authorize” \$50 million in military assistance to the regime in Chad and the Socialist French government to help fund the intervention in Mali on behalf of the coup regime. Despite support from the U.S. government, however, Chadian “President” Idriss Deby announced that his troops were pulling out in the face of strong opposition. “Chad’s army has no ability to face the kind of guerrilla fighting that is emerging in northern Mali,” he said last month. Thousands of French troops remain on the ground with U.S. support.

Meanwhile, American tanker planes have delivered almost eight million pounds of jet fuel for French fighters as part of supporting the thousands of troops from France on the ground in Mali, Pentagon spokesman Lt. Col. Firman told [Military.com](#). Indeed, U.S. officials have also [long bragged about the various forms of aid](#) being provided to the forces battling separatist rebels in the vast Malian north: intelligence, logistics, drone support, and more.

While the war has gone on without an extraordinary amount of international attention or media coverage, human rights groups [said earlier this year](#) that the UN-backed military campaign has led to widespread abuses. Like in similar recent interventions in other African countries — especially Libya and the Ivory Coast, where Western powers helped overthrow existing governments in a brutal civil war — numerous organizations stated that internationally supported forces loyal to the dubious regime in Bamako are using [summary executions and mass human rights abuses](#). Even innocent civilians are being targeted.

Ironically, the official justifications for meddling in Mali include supporting “democracy,” which appears odd to analysts as the military campaign centers on backing a coup regime and its goals. Another excuse for the invasion was defending “human rights,” though it remains unclear how waging a brutal war and supporting a coup regime accused of mass abuses would be conducive to protecting anyone’s rights.

The final and perhaps most important justification for intervening in the conflict was supposedly stopping Islamic extremists while upholding Mali’s so-called “territorial integrity” by smashing separatist movements in the north. However, with the U.S. and [French governments](#) both playing [a key role in arming, funding, and supporting radical Islamists in countries like Syria and Libya](#), analysts have expressed a great deal of confusion surrounding the true reasons for Western intervention in the war.

The coup regime ruling southern Mali out of Bamako is currently attempting to recapture the northern regions of the country. As *The New American* has [reported](#), the vast swath of territory was declared independent last year by a group of historically oppressed nomadic Tuareg rebels armed with [weapons obtained from the recent Western-backed war on Libya](#).

Eventually, Islamic fighters with various loyalties joined the fight against the corrupt central



Written by [Alex Newman](#) on May 6, 2013

---

government, too — providing a half-baked excuse for the UN, the French government, Obama, and various African despots to enter the fray. Those Islamists became key to selling the intervention to war-weary publics in Europe and the United States.

Separately, the UN Security Council voted recently to “authorize” the deployment of more than 12,500 so-called international “peacekeeping” troops. According to news reports, the first soldiers under direct UN command are set to arrive this summer.

The Malian coup regime’s “Foreign Minister,” Tieman Hubert Coulibaly, told the Security Council that international forces would focus on stability in urban centers. Also on the agenda, Coulibaly said, would be “the protection of civilians, the promotion and protection of human rights, as well as humanitarian assistance.”

Of course, the UN and its mostly dictatorial member governments have been [plotting an invasion of Mali to crush the rebels since at least last fall](#). Numerous “resolutions” purporting to “authorize” international military intervention have been issued, helping to provide some dubious political cover for Western powers and assorted African despots seeking to quash the independence movement.

In the United States, however, the Constitution still requires a declaration of war from Congress before the president can get the American military involved in conflicts. Of course, the chaos in Mali is widely attributed, at least in part, to previous rounds of unapproved interventions in Africa including military actions under Obama that were waged without constitutional or congressional authority.

With the federal government borrowing trillions of dollars just to stay afloat, it remains unclear how many more conflicts Obama can involve America in before it all comes apart. In recent years, the administration has openly deployed U.S. troops everywhere from [Guatemala](#) and [Jordan](#) to [Uganda](#) and [Turkey](#). The results thus far: More debt, more bloodshed, more innocents dead, more conflict, and more chaos. There is little reason to believe that the results in Mali will be any different.

*Alex Newman, a foreign correspondent for The New American, is currently based in Europe. He can be reached at [anewman@thenewamerican.com](mailto:anewman@thenewamerican.com).*

*Related articles:*

[In Mali, Forces Backed by UN, France, and Obama Slaughter Civilians](#)

[After Invading Mali, Socialist French President Wins UN Peace Prize](#)

[Mali: Here We Go Again](#)

[Without Congress, Obama Administration Joins War in Mali](#)

[France Bombs Mali While Backing Jihad Elsewhere](#)

[Despite Arrest of Prime Minister, UN Plot to Invade Mali Advances](#)

[U.S. Troops to be in up to 35 African Countries](#)

[Obama to Help UN Invade Mali](#)

[UN Plotting Invasion of Northern Mali](#)

[Mali: Target of UN’s Sovereignty-stealing “Responsibility to Protect” Doctrine](#)

[UN-Backed Troops Wreak Havoc in Africa](#)

[Installed by UN and Obama, Ivory Coast Regime Attacks Press and Opposition](#)



Written by [Alex Newman](#) on May 6, 2013

---

[UN Report: NATO's Libya War Armed al-Qaeda](#)

[U.S.-funded Jihadist "Holy War" in Syria Seeks Sharia Dictatorship](#)

[Libya: Now What?](#)

[UN-backed Forces Slaughter Christians in Ivory Coast](#)

[Obama-backed Syrian Rebels "Ethnic Cleansing" Christians](#)

[Christian Massacres: A Result of U.S. Foreign Policy](#)

[Obama Defends Military Intervention at UN, Calls for More](#)

[Critics Slam Obama Deployment of U.S. Troops to Guatemala for Drug War](#)

[Without Asking Congress, Obama Puts U.S. Troops on Syria Border](#)

[Obama Paves Way to Arm Muslim Brotherhood-linked Regime in Somalia](#)

[Congressmen Condemn Obama Attack on Congressional War Powers](#)

[UN, Obama Fighting Alongside Al-Qaeda in Libya](#)

[Obama Surrounds Syria with U.S. Troops; Regional War Nears](#)

[The Folly of American Intervention in Uganda](#)



## Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



[Subscribe](#)

### What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.