



# CNN: Do NOT “Do Your Own Research” on COVID Vaccines

[https://media.blubrry.com/1462062/mcdn.podbean.com/mf/web/zzwsqj/CNN\\_Do\\_Not\\_Do\\_Your\\_Own\\_Research88cuv.mp3](https://media.blubrry.com/1462062/mcdn.podbean.com/mf/web/zzwsqj/CNN_Do_Not_Do_Your_Own_Research88cuv.mp3)

Podcast: Play in new window | [Download](#) ()

Subscribe: [Android](#) | [RSS](#) | [More](#)

“Vaccines save lives.” “Vaccines are safe and effective.” “Vaccines will get us back to normal.” “All eligible Americans must take a vaccine.” Americans have been bombarded with this mantra 24/7 since the experimental gene therapeutics, aka “COVID vaccines,” became available to the general public in April of this year.



[peterschreiber.media/iStock/Getty Images Plus](#)

As the vaccination campaign gained steam, it turned out that COVID vaccines are not, in fact, as safe as they were said to be. The Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS) had started filling up with numerous reports on side effects, from mild ones to such serious and life-threatening reactions as blood clotting, hemorrhages, unstoppable bleeding, heart issues, miscarriages, and deaths. While, arguably, not every single event was connected to the vaccine, the trend was truly alarming. In addition to that, the true numbers of adverse effects are likely much higher, since only about [10 percent](#) of all cases are being reported to the system.

As the media and top officials turned a blind eye to the troubling reports, and Big Tech started to [censor](#) all stories of people suffering adverse reactions after being inoculated, many practicing doctors started to speak up and sound the alarm regarding the jabs’ safety. No matter how renowned and prominent, all of them were called “conspiracy theorists” and shut down from most of the public platforms.

Further, it turns out that the vaccines do not prevent one from getting the virus or transmitting it to others. After [reports](#) of breakthrough infections were already appearing on the web for at least a month, President Biden [stated](#) on July 21, “You’re not going to get COVID if you have these vaccinations.”

On July 31, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) contradicted the president’s words in a [statement](#) that the viral load vaccinated and unvaccinated people carry when infected is “similar.” The vaccination was still recommended to everyone since it was [said](#) to reduce the risk of severe COVID complications.

In this confusing situation, both [federal](#) and some local governments, as well as [private businesses](#), took the vaccination campaign on the offensive, and switched from cajoling people into getting jabbed to coercing them to do so — or face negative consequences, such as losing a job.

Still, the mainstream media shames vaccine-hesitant Americans for not rolling up their sleeves. The latest target of the mainstream-media attack is people’s independent research on vaccines.

“Four little words — ‘do your own research’ — are hurting the US pandemic response,” [warned CNN’s](#)



Written by [Veronika Kyrylenko](#) on September 23, 2021

---

Ramishah Maruf, citing chief media correspondent Brian Stelter.

While admitting that “it may seem like a reasonable, even positive, attitude,” it is, at the same time, “a favored talking point echoed by many in the right-wing media,” Maruf claims. Presumably, it means that independent research is a tool that the conservative-leaning journalists encourage people to use in order to increase vaccine hesitancy and, therefore, undermine the whole vaccination campaign.

One may wonder, “Why would it hurt to watch this [podcast](#) with mRNA technology inventor Dr. Robert Malone? After all, he seems reputable enough to say something valuable about the jobs that employ technology that he pioneered.”

One should not do such a thing, CNN explains, first of all, because most people are not smart enough to understand such an information: “Most people simply don’t know how to do their own research, especially when it comes to understanding the complexities of medical science.”

Secondly, in the current media environment, Maruf argues, people often fall victim to the “misleading data that confirms biases.” And she is not wrong, actually. The media bias and political interest was on full display during the presidential campaign of 2020, when Maruf’s own outlet [admitted](#) it was engaged in generating public panic over COVID only to hurt Donald Trump’s chances for reelection. On a different occasion, many of the liberal outlets who rooted for Joe Biden [stated](#) they “made a mistake” by dismissing the Wuhan lab-leak theory of COVID origin in 2020 because it was supported by Trump. Thus, if the media environment is not a place to look for reliable information, it is because of CNN and its ilk.

Maruf quotes Renee DiResta, research manager at the Stanford Internet Observatory, who laments, “Nobody’s going to the library and looking up authoritative sources to do their own research.” But what if they do? See point number 1 and get a medical degree.

Maruf then quotes Yael Eisenstat, a Future of Democracy fellow at the Berggruen Institute, saying that the media “needs to be more transparent in its reporting, especially when it comes to Covid.” This is because, Maruf claims, there are “subtle differences between understanding scientific research that is still theoretical versus that which has been tested and widely agreed upon.” DiResta adds that “Science is a consensus building process.”

The latter statement implies that if the “scientists” (or whoever talk on behalf of “science” and are called “experts” on TV) agree that the vaccines are safe, then it must be true. The evidence may change, and if the “scientists” chose to agree on something else, then the previous statement becomes invalid.

This type of logic is painfully reminiscent of George Orwell’s *1984*, where the Party could announce that Oceania had always been at war with Eurasia and allied with East Asia, only to later announce that Oceania had always been at war with East Asia and allied with Eurasia, and the people were expected to believe both claims. In the same vein, the Party could also claim that two plus two equals five, and it would be so, because in that dystopian world, the reality was internal and did not rely on physical evidence. It only existed in the mind of the rulers, who projected it onto the public: “Whatever the Party holds to be the truth, is truth.”

That is the type of reality the corporate media and their masters are pushing on America. So please, do your own research and see for yourself that  $2+2=4$ .



## Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



[Subscribe](#)

### What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.