



Calif. Governor Jerry Brown Vows to Resist Trump Climate Policies

California Democratic Governor Jerry
Brown, who has a record as a strong
environmentalist and who has attended the
United Nations Climate Change Conference
in Paris and the Climate Summit of the
Americas in Toronto, has said that he will
take California in a different direction if the
incoming Trump administration relaxes
environmental regulations or measures to
fight against so-called "climate change."



Brown gave an interview to the *New York Times*, which reported that he pledged to bypass Washington and work directly with other nations and states to defend and strengthen California's environmental policies, which are already the most aggressive in the nation. Following the line prevailing among those who insist that carbon emissions contribute to climate change and global warming, Brown maintains that strict regulations are necessary to prevent such environmental calamities — a theory that has been disputed by many scientists.

"California can make a significant contribution to advancing the cause of dealing with climate change, irrespective of what goes on in Washington," said Brown in the *Times* interview. "I wouldn't underestimate California's resolve if everything moves in this extreme climate denial direction. Yes, we will take action."

"Climate denial" is a term coined by supporters of the theory that climate change and so-called global warming are anthropogenic (caused by human activity) to dismiss those — including many respected environmental scientists — who have offered evidence to the contrary.

During the interview, Brown described Trump's election as a setback for the climate movement, but predicted that it would be a temporary setback.

"In a paradoxical way, it could speed up the efforts of leaders in the world to take climate change seriously," he said. "The shock of official congressional and presidential denial will reverberate through the world."

Brown is not the first governor of California to buy into the global warming theory and to impose strict regulations on the state's industrial segment. His predecessor, Arnold Schwarzenegger, signed Executive Order S-3-05 in June 2005 that set so-called greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions reduction targets for California as follows: by 2010, reduce GHG emissions to 2000 levels; by 2020, reduce GHG emissions to 1990 levels; and by 2050, reduce GHG emissions to 80 percent below 1990 levels.

Brown followed up by signing Executive Order B-30-15 in April 2015, adding the intermediate target of reducing GHG emissions to 40 percent below 1990 levels by 2030.

Even the *Times*, which might be expected to be supportive of Brown's liberal agenda, pointed out the pitfalls of the path the Golden State has taken, observing:

The environmental effort poses decided risks for this state. For one thing, Mr. Trump and







Republicans have the power to undercut California's climate policies. The Trump administration could reduce funds for the state's vast research community — including two national laboratories — which has contributed a great deal to climate science and energy innovation, or effectively nullify state regulations on clean air emissions and automobile fuel standards.

"They could basically stop enforcement of the Clean Air Act and CO2 emissions," said Hal Harvey, president of Energy Innovation, a policy research group in San Francisco. "That would affect California because it would constrain markets. It would make them fight political and legal battles rather than scientific and technological ones."

The *Times* also quoted a statement from Rob Lapsley, the president of the California Business Roundtable, who warned that California's aggressive regulatory agenda would make it difficult for the state to attract companies that might prefer to locate to states that are more business friendly. Said Lapsley:

If the other states pursue no-climate-change policies, and we continue to go it on our own with our climate change policies, then we would be at a competitive disadvantage for either relocating companies or growing companies here, particularly manufacturing factories.

Considering the dire economic consequences of imposing strict carbon emissions regulations on industry, it would seem prudent before putting them into effect to first weigh both their environmental and economic consequences to determine if they are necessary, or even desirable. As *The New American's* writers have pointed out in numerous articles over the past several years, there is no unanimous consensus on climate change and global warming among the scientific community. Those articles have quoted many respected scientists offering evidence that not only are periods of global warming more likely to be the result of natural cyclical changes than human (anthropogenic) activity, but that the warming process has reversed itself and our Earth may actually have entered a cooling period!

As we noted in a recent article, climate blogger Tony Heller reported on November 13 that over the last eight months, global temperatures over land have *cooled* a record 1.2°C. Heller's findings were charted on a <u>graph posted on the website</u> realclimatescience.com. Heller was one of the speakers at the <u>ninth</u> <u>International Conference on Climate Change</u> held on July 9, 2014 in Las Vegas, delivering a talk titled "The Emperor's New Climate."

While this latest report may come as a surprise to many people, longtime readers of *The New American* will not be among them. In an <u>article published by this magazine in 2013</u>, foreign correspondent Alex Newman observed:

So-called global-warming alarmists are in a frenzy after the latest climate data confirmed the Earth actually appears to be entering a potential cooling trend, sea-ice cover in Antarctica is growing to record levels, tornadoes and hurricanes are at record lows, and more.

While we could cite dozens of articles debunking both the theory that carbon emissions generated by humans are causing global warming, and the propaganda asserting that there is a consensus among scientists supporting that theory, space allows only one more reference. That is an article posted today titled: "Biggest Fake News Story: Global Warming and Phony Consensus." The report cites a recent article in *The Atlantic* asking: "Are Climate Scientists Ready for Trump?" The article's writer, Robinson Meyer, posed several questions, including, "How should climate scientists react to a president-elect who calls global warming a 'hoax'? How much should they prepare for his administration? And should



Written by Warren Mass on December 28, 2016



they prepare for the worst?"

In *The Atlantic* article, Meyer notes that on the first day of the recent American Geophysical Union (AGU) conference in San Francisco, the thousands of assembled scientists heard from Harvard historian Naomi Oreskes, the politically motivated ideologue who is most responsible for perpetrating the "scientific consensus" fraud regarding anthropogenic (human caused) global warming, or AGW.

The New American's Alex Newman pointed out that this magazine has repeatedly reported on the fraudulent methodology used by Oreskes and Australian global warming activist John Cook to arrive at their unsubstantiated claims of near-unanimous consensus for their global warming theory. The article notes that Prof. Richard S. J. Tol and Dr. Benny Peiser are but two of the experts who have exposed Oreskes and Cook, showing that only one percent of climate research papers — not 97 percent — support the "consensus" view claimed by the AGW alarmists. (See here, here, and here, and here.)

The article continues:

However, no amount of debunking, and no amount of evidence, will change the "crisis" mindset that grips many of the media commentators. CNN's Chris Cuomo is a prime example of the arrogance of ignorance among the committed AGW mediameisters. In a <u>combative "interview"</u> on December 12, CNN's Chris Cuomo went after Trump adviser Anthony Scaramucci, repeatedly citing the false claim that the science is settled and that "science" has declared we must accept vast new global governance and controls to avert planetary catastrophe.

Since the AGW is a major source of global warming disinformation, Newman offers this advice to the incoming Trump administration: "The new administration must move quickly and decisively to dismantle and defund the huge federally-funded AGW lobby and withdraw all U.S. support for the UN's destructive Paris Agreement, which <u>President Obama has illegally tried to bind</u> the United States to through executive agreement."

Photo of Gov. Jerry Brown: AP Images

Related articles:

Biggest Fake News Story: Global Warming and Phony Consensus

Former Climate Chairman Calls Out Inaccuracies of Data and Models

Education Author Exposes Environmentalist Movement's Agenda

Princeton Physics Professor Discredits Anthropogenic Climate Change Theory

Climate Scare Over: Top Experts Expose Scam at Freedom Confab

Media Blasted for "Fake News" on Trump's Climate Stance

With Obama Out, Communist China Takes Lead on UN "Climate" Scam

Skeptic to UN Climate Conference: Global Warming Is Bunk

Greenies, Lefties Protest Dakota Access Pipeline With Violence

Climate-change Report: Record Global COOLING Over Last Eight Months

Earth Is Cooling, Sea Levels Not Rising, Scientists Say

Establishment Boasts Its Paris Climate Agreement Now a Done Deal

Multiple Studies Refute Claims of Rising Seas Due to Global Warming







Documentary "Climate Hustle" Exposes Global-warming Con Job

<u>Climate-change Computer Models Fail Again — and Again, and Again</u>





Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



Subscribe

What's Included?

24 Issues Per Year
Optional Print Edition
Digital Edition Access
Exclusive Subscriber Content
Audio provided for all articles
Unlimited access to past issues
Coming Soon! Ad FREE
60-Day money back guarantee!
Cancel anytime.