



Written by [Bob Adelman](#) on January 23, 2018

Trump Launches First Salvo in Trade War

Following the [announcement](#) by the White House on Monday that it was imposing tariffs and import quotas on solar panels and washing machines, effective immediately, U.S. Trade Representative Robert Lighthizer rejoiced: “The president’s action makes clear again that the Trump administration will always defend American workers, farmers, ranches, and businesses.” This view was confirmed by a White House trade official who told reporters:



If you look at solar closely, you have a clear example of Chinese trade policy propping up an industry, creating excess capacity in an industry [causing] significant harm to the United States and globally as well.

He assured reporters that this isn’t just about washing machines coming from South Korea or solar panels from China, either:

We need to figure out how to deal with that, not just for the solar industry but for a lot of different industries where you’re going to see the same playbook trotted out.

{modulepos inner_text_ad}

Here’s that playbook: The Trade Act of 1974 evolved out of the Progressive Era (the early 1900s under President Teddy Roosevelt), which granted the government the power to intervene when foreign competitors were deemed to be “not playing fair.” Out of that evolved a quasi-governmental administrative agency called the U.S. International Trade Commission (USITC) that acts as sort of a referee in disputes between domestic producers and their foreign competitors.

In this case two domestic solar panel companies — Suniva and SolarWorld — filed a complaint under that Trade Act that their foreign competitors were being unfairly supported with subsidies by their governments, allowing them to undercut prices and pushing the American companies into bankruptcy. Whirlpool joined in the fray shortly thereafter, complaining that South Korea’s “unfair” support of local manufacturers were hurting Whirlpool’s domestic washing machine business.

The USITC lent a sympathetic ear and ruled in favor of the complainants. In September the USITC recommended that retaliation for such “unfair” practices be instituted by the U.S. government. On Monday Trump agreed and launched the first salvo in the coming trade war.

One knows that something is wrong when one of the president’s severest critics thinks this is a good idea. Ohio Democrat Senator Sherrod Brown, whose state’s voters went for Trump last November, celebrated the move: “I applaud the administration for this strong relief.” Nebraska Republican Senator Ben Sasse, on the other hand, declared simply and accurately that those “tariffs are taxes on families.”

Viewed in that light, it’s easy to see what’s afoot here. In his attempt to put “America First,” Trump is forgetting all about those who actually pay those tariffs: the American consumer. By making imports more costly (the tariffs range between 30 and 50 percent to be added to the price of those imports), fewer of them will be bought. It’s pure protectionism, allowing companies to exist that otherwise



Written by [Bob Adelman](#) on January 23, 2018

wouldn't. The fact that foreign companies get subsidies is beside the point: If those governments want to subsidize companies so they can offer American consumers even lower prices, who would argue with that? Free market economists consider such behavior on the part of foreign governments as a gift to the American consumer.

But under the "progressive" mantra inflicted under the first Roosevelt administration, companies are now free to complain and seek remedies through force. Trump calls it "leveling the playing field." The free market calls it "rent-seeking" from companies that couldn't otherwise compete successfully without government assistance.

With the pressure off to stay competitive, companies so protected can relax a little, take a deep breath, and rest easier knowing that their customers will likely be buying their products as their competitors' products are being priced out of the market. There will be the natural incentive to raise their own prices with those competitors out of the way, reducing demand, and resulting ultimately in fewer workers in those "protected" industries. One other point: Without that competition what incentive will those protected companies to improve their products?

Free market economists look at how the Smoot-Hawley Tariff of 1930 exacerbated the Great Depression as the progressive Hoover and Roosevelt administrations sought to protect American companies from foreign competitors.

Such a move as announced on Monday also opens another door: retaliation from foreign governments placing similar tariffs and quotas on American made products being offered for sale there. Again: higher prices, less demand, consumers worse off, and consequently fewer people employed in those "protected" industries.

Could this opening salvo result in a full-blown trade war? After all there is much concern about the Chinese "theft" of American technology. As the White House trade official noted: "For a lot of different industries ... you're going to see the same playbook trotted out."

In 1930, following the imposition of tariffs on some 20,000 imported goods by the federal government, it took just two months for Canada, America's primary trading partner, to enact its own set of tariffs. The U.S. economy, already slowing, shuddered and nearly came to a stop.

In his attempt to put "American First" by retaliating against "unfair" foreign competition, the president is putting the American consumer last, at least when they are buying solar panels and washing machines.

Photo: [Clipart.com](#)

An Ivy League graduate and former investment advisor, Bob is a regular contributor to The New American magazine and blogs frequently at [LightFromTheRight.com](#), primarily on economics and politics. He can be reached at badelman@thenewamerican.com.



Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



[Subscribe](#)

What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.