



Written by [Paul Dragu](#) on April 16, 2025

Former Congressman Curt Weldon Resurfaces With Explosive Claims About 9/11 “Coverup”

A former high-ranking congressman has resurfaced with explosive allegations about 9/11.

Curt Weldon, who represented the seventh district of Pennsylvania for two decades and served as the vice chairman of the House Armed Services Committee and the House Homeland Security Committee, claims that U.S. intelligence knew about terrorists’ plans to commit 9/11 a year prior and torpedoed his political career after learning that he was going to tell the public.

Weldon has appeared in multiple interview shows over the last few days, including Tucker Carlson’s, where the episode has garnered more than five million views as of Wednesday just on [social-media platform X](#).



YouTube
Curt Weldon

After twenty years in congress, Curt Weldon was about to become chairman of the House Armed Services Committee when he publicly questioned the accuracy of the 9-11 report. In retaliation, the Bush administration sent federal agents to his daughter’s house and ended his political... pic.twitter.com/vxiDRI8pfz

— Tucker Carlson (@TuckerCarlson) [April 14, 2025](#)

Weldon spoke passionately and in great detail regarding circumstances and actions on the part of the U.S. government that, if true, would make 9/11 the greatest coverup in U.S. history.

Military Intel Had Prior Knowledge of 9/11?

According to Weldon, a special military intelligence operation code-named “Able Danger” knew terrorists had plans to bring down the World Trade Center buildings one year before it happened.

After the World Trade Center bombing in 1993, Weldon sponsored legislation that led to the creation of the Gilmore Commission. Named after its chair, Virginia Governor James Gilmore, the commission recommended the creation of an intelligence fusion center. Weldon said he met with deputy secretary of defense, the deputy director of the FBI, and the deputy director of the CIA on November 4, 1999 to talk about putting together this fusion center, technically known as the National Operational Analysis of Policy Maker and War Fighters Tool (NOAH). Everyone but the CIA was on board with the idea, he said. The CIA representative told Weldon they were doing something else on their own, a program called CI-21.

After 9/11, Weldon said he received “frantic calls” from four people on the Able Danger team, which was operating out of Fort Belvoir in Virginia. He talked to these people separately, yet each one told the



Written by [Paul Dragu](#) on April 16, 2025

same story, which was, “We identified every cell of Al-Queda in the world a year before 9/11. We identified the New York cell a year before 9/11. We knew there was going to be a problem.”

Weldon said he has the charts and document he received at the time showing the plans. The Able Danger team tried to tell the FBI and DOJ three times about these plans. “All three times they were stopped,” Weldon said. “They were not allowed to transfer the information.”

Able Danger’s information could have prevented 9/11, according not only to Weldon but former FBI director Louis Freeh, who wrote on November 17, 2005 an op-ed published in *The Wall Street Journal* titled, “An Incomplete Investigation: Why did the 9/11 Commission ignore ‘Able Danger’?” Freeh [wrote](#):

“Able Danger” has cast light on a missed opportunity that could have potentially prevented 9/11. Specifically, Able Danger concluded in February 2000 that military experts had identified Mohamed Atta by name (and maybe by photograph) as an al Qaeda agent operating in the U.S. Subsequently, military officers assigned to Able Danger were prevented from sharing this critical information with FBI agents, even though appointments had been made to do so. Why?... The Able Danger intelligence, if confirmed, is undoubtedly the most relevant fact of the entire post-9/11 inquiry. Even the most junior investigator would immediately know that the name and photo ID of Atta in 2000 is precisely the kind of tactical intelligence the FBI has many times employed to prevent attacks and arrest terrorists. Yet the 9/11 Commission inexplicably concluded that it “was not historically significant.”

As far as the to the 9/11 Commission report goes, Weldon repeatedly referred to it as a “piece of garbage.” The 9/11 commission was a coverup — “1,000 percent coverup” — he told Carlson. The leader of the coverup was the commission’s director, Phillip Zelikow. Zelikow worked in the George H.W. Bush administration on the National Security Council and served on the George W. Bush transition team as well as the administration’s Foreign Intelligence Advisory Board.

After 9/11, Inspector General for the Pentagon John Crane filed a whistleblower paper (Weldon offered Carlson a copy of it). Weldon said Crane asked for protection because his bosses in the Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA) refused to allow him to become a whistleblower. Crane told Weldon he was advised to lie to and misinform Congress.

The “scumbags” in intel, a fiery Weldon said, employ deception regularly:

They play games by manipulating the media to put out a narrative....

The agency plays games with Congress. The Congress thinks it’s getting good information — and it’s not. The agency wants members fighting over stupid things so they can do what they want.... There are some scumbags. I know those scumbags. At the appropriate time, I’ll name those scumbags who have made millions and millions of dollars, and are making millions and millions of dollars today. They’re making it in Ukraine and around the world because of contacts they established.

WTC Buildings Brought Down by Controlled Demolition?

Weldon is a firefighter and former fire chief. He also trained the fire protection and arson agents at the Insurance Company of North America (INA). He walked the World Trade Center north tower after the



Written by [Paul Dragu](#) on April 16, 2025

1993 bombing. He believes the World Trade Center buildings collapsed due to controlled demolition. The official story is that Towers 1 and 2 fell because the buildings suffered significant structural damage after the airplanes flew into them. Moreover, jet fuel from the airplanes ignited fireballs hot enough to compromise the steel frame. As for Building 7, it fell because it was struck by debris from Towers 1 and 2. The debris from the two towers punched a large gash in 10 of its 47 stories, damaging columns and igniting fires.

But Weldon disagrees. "That doesn't happen," he told Carlson. "You never have a 47-story building just collapse." He also disagrees with the official reason for the collapse of Towers 1 and 2. "There's no way those two buildings could've collapsed into what they were there. Something had to happen."

Weldon pointed out that this was also the opinion of Donald Trump. Trump, considered at the time an expert on not only tall buildings, but tall buildings in New York, said on the morning of 9/11 during a phone call with local Channel 9 that he didn't believe any of the WTC buildings came down for the reasons we've been told. He told the reporter:

The World Trade Center was always known as a very strong building. Don't forget, that took a big bomb in the basement [in 1993]. The basement is the most vulnerable place because that's your foundation. And I got to see that area about three or four days after it took place because one of my structural engineers actually took me for a tour, because he did the building. The building was standing solid and half of the columns were blown out.

So, this was an unbelievably powerful building. If you know anything about structure, it was one of the first buildings built from the outside. The reason the World Trade Center had such narrow windows is that in between all the windows you had steel on the outside. And you had big, heavy I-beams.... I said, "How can a plane ... even a 767 or 747," or whatever it might've been — "how can it possibly go through the steel?" I happen to think that they had not only a plane, but they had bombs that exploded almost simultaneously.

There is also a good deal of footage of firefighters saying they heard a "heavy duty explosion." One firefighter said he "definitely" heard a secondary explosion. Someone else who was at Ground Zero at the time said that an hour later, presumably after the planes hit the towers, he heard "a big explosion from much, much lower." Another person said, "it was like a bomb went off." Yet someone else added, "Federal agencies that were down there do believe that there was some sort of explosive device somewhere else besides the planes hitting."

This compilation from Benny Johnson includes [several similar testimonies](#) from people at Ground Zero on 9/11.

Did CIA Know bin Laden Wasn't in Afghanistan?

Weldon said he received 10 solid tips from highly qualified sources telling him that while U.S. soldiers were dying in Afghanistan trying to overthrow the Taliban, destroy al-Qaeda, and capture Osama bin Laden, bin Laden was hiding in Iran. He said he then met with the CIA and told them he had this information. In response, he was told "we heard similar reports. We can neither confirm or deny them." Weldon said he was in shock.

Three months later, Weldon received more intel, this time that bin Laden was being treated outside of Tehran. Again, during a classified briefing, he asked if this was true. The answer was the same: "We've



Written by [Paul Dragu](#) on April 16, 2025

heard similar reports. We can neither confirm or deny that bin Laden is being treated at a military hospital outside of Tehran.”

After that, Weldon said he met a man who was a NOC for the CIA, a reference to a non-official cover. The NOC, who had worked in Iran, said he was receiving reports of bin Laden sightings from his friends. The NOC asked Weldon to help him get into Iran so he could get bin Laden “dead or alive.”

Weldon said he also met with a falconer from Maine, a Sikh U.S. citizen who trained children of Middle Eastern royal families in falconry. The falconer asked Weldon for help getting into Iran. The man said fellow falconers in the region were relaying reports with sightings of bin Laden’s falcons in Iran. He offered to go there and tag bin Laden’s birds, which would lead the U.S. straight to him.

Weldon concluded that the intel agencies were hiding the truth about bin Laden’s whereabouts. Moreover, he added, bin Laden “was placed” in Iran, based on intel relayed to him by someone who said they were in the room “when the deal was cut.” The “deal” was cut not with the Iranian government, Weldon said, but Qasem Soleimani, a commander in the Islamic Revolutionary Guard Corps (IRGC) who was killed in a 2020 airstrike authorized by President Donald Trump. Weldon believes this deal is “why we killed him.”

But why? According to Weldon, “The point was to manipulate to get our troops committed to fight the battles in Afghanistan, Iraq, and that whole region of the world. And they had to have the justification to do that.”

The fiery former congressman then said the skullduggery that sent the U.S. military on a wild-goose chase persists to this day:

Our intelligence agency has tentacles around the world. And they’re not answering to anybody. And that’s the problem right now. That’s the problem Trump has. Yeah, he can cut off the security clearance of 51, but that network extends far and wide around the world and they can play all the games they want—in Ukraine, in other countries! And until we go after that, this is not going to stop.

What’s Next?

Weldon [believes](#) the president needs to create a legitimate, independent 9/11 commission of fire experts, builders, and engineers to examine the intelligence. He says he has a plethora of documentation —testimonies, interviews, expert interviews. “Everybody is ready to go,” he said. “All Trump has to do is name a new presidential commission, let them do the investigation, we’ll give them all the material, and then we’ll show the country.”



Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative,
non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



Subscribe

What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.