



Brett Brocato Makes Election Integrity Center Issue in S.C. House District Race

Brett Brocato, a candidate for District 22 of the South Carolina House of Representatives, runs to unseat nonconservative Republican incumbent Rep. Jason Elliot over his inaction on restoring election integrity in South Carolina. Brocato tells *The New American* that he's running to unseat the incumbent in order to "keep America as free as possible in the coming years."

In October 2021, a local pro-election integrity group brought in physicist Dr. Douglas G. Frank, who has done big-data analysis of the 2020 election results from many states, including South Carolina. Dr. Frank claims to have found suspicious signatures and other discrepancies that Brett Brocato would have the South Carolina Legislature look into if he's elected.



"Certainly, I would want all of the evidence that has been developed by some of these outside resources – Dr. Frank being an example – I want all of that evidence to be looked at closely by the South Carolina Legislature," Brocato tells *The New American*. "Beyond that I think having one state-registration database is a single point of failure. I think if all of the registrations are kept in one spot then we should all know how easy it is for systems that are thought to be secure to be hacked."

Brocato also shares his views on returning to a Christian worldview and morality, promoting school choice for parents, and removing both critical race theory and sexual propaganda from the earliest stages of public education.

The South Carolina Legislature recently passed an application to Congress to call for a so-called "convention of states," or constitutional convention, under Article V, to propose amendments to the federal Constitution, ostensibly to rein in the federal government. Asked about where he stands on this issue, Brocato explains that he previously believed that the Founders gave us Article V, specifically the convention method, for that very reason but upon further looking into it came to realize that such a convention would not run so smoothly as he previously was led to believe and is now completely opposed to it. "If we can't get good representatives elected in South Carolina then how would we send the right people to a convention to do something as risky as changing the Constitution?" Brocato asks.

He further questions, "After the 2020 election, even if you thought that a convention of states was a good idea – after we've seen how unfair the 2020 election seamed – how could anybody think of beginning to rewrite the Constitution at a time when we don't know how we would choose who to send to a convention like that? We don't know if they would be chosen fairly. So why would this be the right



Written by **Christian Gomez** on May 17, 2022



time to take the risk of doing something so seriously as changing or adding to the Constitution?" Brocato says he would like to see South Carolina's recent "convention of states" application rescinded. Instead, he favors states using nullification to protect the rights of South Carolinians, such as the right to keep and bear arms.





Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



Subscribe

What's Included?

24 Issues Per Year
Optional Print Edition
Digital Edition Access
Exclusive Subscriber Content
Audio provided for all articles
Unlimited access to past issues
Coming Soon! Ad FREE
60-Day money back guarantee!
Cancel anytime.