



Written by [Veronika Kyrylenko](#) on June 7, 2021

Twitter Suspends Naomi Wolf Over Anti-vaccine Claims

Naomi Wolf, a feminist author and former campaign advisor to President Bill Clinton and an ardent critic of COVID lockdowns and vaccines, has been banned from Twitter.

Wolf — who had nearly 150,000 followers — was suspended from Twitter on Friday evening following her repeated commentary against COVID-19 vaccinations.

Though it's not clear exactly which post led to Wolf's suspension, she had a history of making anti-vaccine comments and had published several on the day of her ban.

The Daily Mail [tracked](#) some of Wolf's Twitter activity, and reported that her opinions indeed differed dramatically from the "official" COVID-19 narrative. Wolf, for example, claimed that vaccines were a "software platform that can receive uploads."

Interestingly, however, "software of life" is exactly how COVID-19 vaccine manufacturer Moderna proudly [defines](#) its job, while Dr. Tal Zaks, its chief medical officer, [explained](#) the work of mRNA as "hacking the software of life," so Wolf's claims are not at all unusual.

In one of the tweets, Wolf compared Dr. Anthony Fauci, President Biden's top COVID advisor, to Satan. Even though many Americans still trust the notorious doctor, many others see Fauci as an opportunist bureaucrat implicated in the creation of the virus that caused the pandemic, and are [demanding](#) his immediate removal from his position, and even his arrest. While he might not rise to the level of Satan, for many people of faith, such a person is certainly far from a saint.

She also claimed children should not wear masks, tweeting the claim she was "seeing kids with their lower faces hanging inertly, absolutely unmoving facial muscles, when they take their masks off." Whether or not this claim is accurate, it is a [fact](#) that children rarely get COVID-19, while there is ample evidence suggesting that masks are not just [ineffective](#) at containing the spread of respiratory viruses, but actually [compromise](#) the physical and mental health of children.

"No! No!!," she [wrote](#) in response to a picture of a teddy bear "helping out at Leamington Spa #vaccination center as a NHS volunteer steward," as the safety of COVID injections raise [concerns](#) among parents, and as CDC launched an [investigation](#) into the link between the jabs and heart inflammation in adolescents.

Wolf also raised concerns over vaccinated people "shedding" the virus to unvaccinated people — an issue [discussed](#) by Dr. Lee Merritt in an interview with *The New American*.

Also, last month, Wolf [spoke](#) against vaccine passports, and told a U.S. congressional committee that



YouTube



Written by [Veronika Kyrylenko](#) on June 7, 2021

such documents would “recreate a situation that is very familiar to me as a student of history. This has been the start of many, many genocides.” She also [believes](#) that such papers are not about the vaccine or the virus, but about the data: “What people need to understand is that any other functionality can be loaded onto that platform.” Wolf added that implementation of vaccine passports would mark an end of civil society, since those refusing the jab would be marginalized and, basically, kicked out of social engagements.

All in all, Wolf’s claims, while perhaps presented in an eccentric manner, were not at all baseless.

‘How dare you, Twitter. Naomi Wolf raised damn good questions about the rush to and ramifications of mass vax. This is unAmerican silencing of dissent,’ one user wrote.

Twitter said the suspension was permanent and Wolf would not be able to launch an appeal.

In December 2020, Twitter [said](#) it would start attaching warning labels to tweets that contain “misleading information” about the coronavirus vaccine and institute a “strike” system to penalize users who post such misinformation.

The Twitter [policy](#) reads:

We will label or remove false or misleading information about:

- Adverse impacts or effects of receiving vaccinations, where these claims have been widely debunked;
- Vaccines and vaccination programs which suggest that COVID-19 vaccinations are part of a deliberate or intentional attempt to cause harm or control populations.

While neither of these assumptions have been “widely debunked,” Twitter prohibits its users from spreading any such information.

Since it began policing COVID-19 and vaccine “misinformation,” by March 1 Twitter has had [removed](#) 8,400 tweets and challenged 11.5 million accounts worldwide, saying, “As the distribution of COVID-19 vaccines expands, people continue to turn to Twitter to discuss what’s happening and find the latest authoritative public health information.”

[Facebook](#) and Google-owned [YouTube](#) have both announced bans on “false claims” about the vaccine that go against information from “public health experts” — that is, experts on a government payroll.

Twitter previously required users to remove tweets with “false or misleading information” about the nature of the coronavirus, the efficacy or safety of preventative measures or treatments, official regulations, or the risk of infection or death. The company says it hides such tweets and blocks users from tweeting again until they remove them.



Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



[Subscribe](#)

What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.