



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on April 1, 2022

Published in the April 25, 2022 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 38, No. 08

## Covid and the Ghosts of Nuremberg

“We’re just following orders.” So was told frontline nurse Nicole Sirotek, she reports, when asking her colleagues in 2020 why Covid-19 patients were being denied lifesaving treatment. The quoted statement has, of course, an eerie ring to it, one that anybody possessing even a passing acquaintance with history will recognize as having been uttered before — in the past tense.



AP Images

“Tense,” in a different sense, describes Western civilization’s state for the past two years, as people have wrestled with a disease emergency largely self-imposed. It’s not just that the Dr. Anthony Fauci-affiliated National Institutes of Health helped fund gain-of-function research that apparently visited the “novel coronavirus” on the world. It’s also that the entire establishment — no doubt enticed by Big Pharma money — demonizes ivermectin/hydroxychloroquine protocols known to be effective against Covid-19 in favor of a “V”-for-vaccine-victory fantasy that will supposedly deliver us from China virus oblivion.

Never mind that the liberal *Atlantic* magazine quoted a Yale public-health professor as saying in *early 2020* that if “we’re putting all our hopes in a vaccine as being the answer, we’re in trouble.” Never mind that when Operation Warp Speed gave us the mRNA therapy agents (aka “vaccines”) supposedly ahead of schedule, establishment leftists scoffed at what they called the “Trump vaccine.” When these statist took power in January 2021, it nonetheless was “vaccines” *über alles*. If you didn’t take the jab, you were an unpatriotic disease vector who needed to be compelled via scorn, ostracism, mandates, and career-destruction threats into taking the needle. Why, so extreme was the zealotry that an acquaintance told me last year that if he had his way (I’m paraphrasing), all those refusing the so-called vaccines “would be lined up and shot.”

And with a metaphorical gun to their heads, many Americans lined up for the shot. Yet contrary to earlier promises, the vaccines didn’t kill off the coronavirus — they did, however, kill off or injure perhaps millions of people worldwide. This is not surprising. As Dr. James Hamblin, author of the aforementioned *Atlantic* article, put it, “Like other drugs, vaccines require a long testing process to see whether they indeed protect people from disease, and do so *safely*.” (Emphasis added.) Yet that safety-ensuring testing process wasn’t undertaken. Denizens of the Earth were going to be the guinea pigs —



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on April 1, 2022

Published in the April 25, 2022 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 38, No. 08

---

whether they liked it or not.

## “The Largest Experiment on Human Beings in Recorded History”

This is why Dr. Robert Malone, the internationally acclaimed virologist/immunologist and pioneer of mRNA-vaccine technology, has called the Covidian imposition of the “vaccines” “the largest experiment on human beings in recorded history.” He also stated, writing January 2 at Substack, that it appears to have “failed,” citing an insurance executive’s report explaining that the U.S. mortality-rate increase (mainly *non-Covid deaths*) over pre-pandemic times reflects a situation worse than a “one-in-200-year catastrophe.” This has serious implications, Malone continued, for “if this rather dry report ... holds true, then [German lawyer] Reiner Fuellmich’s ‘Crimes against Humanity’ push for convening new Nuremberg trials starts to look a lot less quixotic and a lot more prophetic.”

Of course, making Nazi comparisons is supposed to automatically disqualify any argument (except when the Left does it, continually, with Trump and anyone else it hates). It certainly brought condemnation on Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. when he recalled Nazi atrocities at a January “Defeat the Mandates” rally in Washington, D.C. What’s more, one group actually had the temerity to call the mass-inoculation scheme a “Holocaust.” Canceling these folks may prove difficult, though. Why? Because they’re *Jewish Holocaust survivors*.

Writing in a September 16, 2021 open letter, Rabbi Hillel Handler, Hagar Schafir, et al. likened the global administration of the Covid “vaccines” and their consequent side-effect-induced deaths to infamous Nazi doctor Josef Mengele’s human experimentation. “We, the survivors of the atrocities committed against humanity during the Second World War,” call for the cessation of “this ungodly medical experiment on humankind immediately,” they unabashedly wrote. “What you call ‘vaccination’ against SARS-Cov-2 is in truth a blasphemous encroachment into nature,” they continued. “Never before has immunization of the entire planet been accomplished by delivering a synthetic mRNA into the human body. It is a medical experiment to which the Nuremberg Code must be applied.”

Ah, there’s that call again. Yet given these appeals for Nuremberg-like accountability, it’s important to review why the code was created and what it dictates.

## Nazi Atrocities and Nuremberg

This dark story begins with WWII and what British statesman Winston Churchill called the “perverted science” of his Nazi adversaries. The German National Socialists (Nazis) certainly might have said they were “following the science,” too, and they were at least as *scientific* as our Branch Covidians. Yet science, being confined to the physical world and not the metaphysical, only informs as to what you *can* do, not what you *should*. The Nazis demonstrated this repeatedly and, interning multitudes in concentration camps, had ample human guinea pigs (though far fewer than the Covidians do).

Nazi doctors’ atrocities were many. One shocking series of experiments took place at Sachsenhausen, a camp in Oranienburg, Germany, on male inmates; and later at Ravensbrück, known as “Hitler’s Hell for Women.” The latter victims were Polish Catholic girls and young ladies, known as “the Rabbits of Ravensbrück” because the Nazis used them as laboratory animals.

The doctors would “regularly inflict battlefield-like wounds on participants without providing anesthesia



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on April 1, 2022

Published in the April 25, 2022 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 38, No. 08

---

to test the effectiveness of various compounds meant to quell infection and promote healing,” related RealClearScience (RCS) last year. “Oftentimes, they would also apply bacteria to the wounds or rub in ground glass or wood shavings.” The site provides one survivor’s testimony:

They made a cut, about 10 centimeters long and 2 centimeters wide, in my arm above the palm of my hand. Today I understand that the surgery that was done on me without anesthesia was done purposely with tools that weren’t sterilized to cause infection. At the time they kept exchanging the bandages with different medicated creams and liquids. The bandage was not wrapped around the arm but only covered the wound. Every day they examined the cut and each time the cut was about to heal, they reopened it and started the whole thing from the beginning.

RCS also writes of “particularly naive and callous experiments” in which “scientists at the Ravensbrück concentration camp cut off the limbs of prisoners — again, without anesthesia — to see if those limbs could be readily transplanted onto others, presumably hoping to provide wounded Nazi soldiers with replacement appendages. The experiments were unsuccessful.”

Then there were high-altitude studies. “SS Doctor Sigmund Rascher devised one of the most murderous experiments,” RCS tells us. “He and members of the Luftwaffe Medical Service placed more than 200 inmates of the Dachau concentration camp into a low-pressure chamber one by one to simulate the effects of high-altitude on humans, seeking to use the results to save German pilots forced to eject high in the air. Eighty subjects died from a lack of oxygen and altitude sickness, and the rest were murdered so autopsies could be performed. It’s rumored that Rascher occasionally dissected inmates’ brains while subjects were still alive in order to directly observe high-altitude’s immediate effects on the organ.”

Rascher and others also performed experiments designed to determine the best ways to treat German servicemen suffering hypothermia. “For up to five hours at a time, they placed victims into vats of icy water, either in aviator suits or naked; they took others outside in the freezing cold and strapped them down naked,” NOVA Online writes in “The Experiments.” “As the victims writhed in pain, foamed at the mouth, and lost consciousness, the doctors measured changes in the patients’ heart rate, body temperature, muscle reflexes, and other factors. When a prisoner’s internal body temperature fell to 79.7°F, the doctors tried rewarming him using hot sleeping bags, scalding baths, and even naked women forced to copulate with the victim. Some 80 to 100 patients perished during these experiments.”

Then there was the notorious Dr. Josef Mengele. Seeking “to more effectively multiply the German race,” he “performed experiments on twins at Auschwitz in hopes of plumbing the secrets of multiple births,” NOVA recounts. “After taking all the body measurements and other living data he could from selected twins, Mengele and his collaborators dispatched them with a single injection of chloroform to the heart.”

These crimes would not go unanswered. After WWII’s conclusion, U.S. military courts held the physicians to account in the “Doctors’ Trial,” which lasted from December 9, 1946 until August 20, 1947. It was one of 12 prosecutions subsequent to the better-known Nuremberg trials, conducted by the International Military Tribunal in 1945 and 1946. It was during these events that the defense “I was only following orders” became notorious.

Aside from 16 convictions and seven acquittals, the Doctors’ Trial also produced “the Nuremberg



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on April 1, 2022

Published in the April 25, 2022 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 38, No. 08

---

Code,” a set of research ethics standards included in the judges’ verdict. It hasn’t been invoked even implicitly since the Doctors’ Trial, but is it now time? Many, including the open-letter Holocaust survivors, certainly think so. Let’s examine the case.

## Covid vs. the Code

The Nuremberg Code comprises 10 principles, some of which may well be relevant to the Covidian madness. For example, Principle 1 begins:

The voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential. This means that the person involved should have legal capacity to give consent; should be so situated as to be able to exercise free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of force, fraud, deceit, duress, overreaching, or other ulterior form of constraint or coercion; and should have sufficient knowledge and comprehension of the elements of the subject matter involved as to enable him to make an understanding and enlightened decision.

The Holocaust survivors analyze the above in their appeal, entitled “Stop the Covid Holocaust! Open Letter” (SCHOL). They write:

There is no question of a free decision. Mass media spread fear and panic and use the rule of Goebbels’ propaganda by repeating untruths until they are believed. For weeks now they have been calling for the ostracism of the unvaccinated. If 80 years ago it was the Jews who were demonized as spreaders of infectious diseases, today it is the unvaccinated who are being accused of spreading the virus. Physical integrity, freedom to travel, freedom to work, all coexistence has been taken away from people in order to force vaccination upon them. Children are being enticed to get vaccinated against their parents’ judgement.

The “enlightened decision” element of Principle 1 “requires that before the acceptance of an affirmative decision by the experimental subject there should be made known to him the nature, duration, and purpose of the experiment; the method and means by which it is to be conducted; all inconveniences and hazards reasonably to be expected; and the effects upon his health or person which may possibly come from his participation in the experiment. The duty and responsibility for ascertaining the quality of the consent rests upon each individual who initiates, directs, or engages in the experiment. It is a personal duty and responsibility which may not be delegated to another with impunity.” About this, SCHOL writes:

The 22 terrible side effects already listed in the FDA emergency use authorization [of the “vaccines”] were not disclosed to the subjects of the experimental trial....

By definition, there has never been informed consent. In the meantime, thousands of side effects recorded in numerous databases are on record. While the so-called case numbers are being bleeped in 30-min-intervals by all mass media, there is neither any mentioning of the serious adverse side effects nor how and where the side effects are to be reported. As far as we know, even recorded damages have been deleted on a large scale in every database.



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on April 1, 2022

Published in the April 25, 2022 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 38, No. 08

---

Now let's evaluate other code principles possibly relevant to the "vaccine" equation. Nuremberg Code Principle 2: "The experiment should be such as to yield fruitful results for the good of society, unprocurable by other methods or means of study, and not random and unnecessary in nature." Given that the effective ivermectin/hydroxychloroquine protocols exist — along with other treatments, such as monoclonal antibodies — this requirement absolutely was not met.

Nuremberg Code Principle 4: "The experiment should be so conducted as to avoid all unnecessary physical and mental suffering and injury." Renowned physician Dr. Peter McCullough pointed out back in March 2021, while appearing before the Texas Senate, that Covid has always been treatable. In light of this, most all the "vaccine"-related deaths and injuries were by definition unnecessary.

Nuremberg Code Principle 6: "The degree of risk to be taken should never exceed that determined by the humanitarian importance of the problem to be solved by the experiment." In the case of the "vaccines," their (compelled) administration against the coronavirus "has proven to be more dangerous than Covid for approximately 99% of all humans," writes SCHOL. In fact, Steve Kirsch, executive director of the COVID-19 Early Treatment Fund, stated in a September virtual discussion that the government's "VAERS [Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System] shows heart attacks happen 71 times more often following these vaccines compared to any other vaccine." What's more, in a comprehensive cost-benefit analysis at Substack on October 31, Toby Rogers, Ph.D. concluded that mass inoculation of children would result in *117 kids dying because of the shot for every one saved by it*.

Finally, there's Nuremberg Code Principle 10: "During the course of the experiment, the scientist in charge must be prepared to terminate the experiment at any stage, if he has probable cause to believe, in the exercise of the good faith, superior skill and careful judgment required of him, that a continuation of the experiment is likely to result in injury, disability, or death to the experimental subject." Health authorities have every reason to believe this. Watchdog group Project Veritas revealed video in September of a Johnson & Johnson scientist saying that people *shouldn't* take his company's "vaccines" and a J&J executive stating emphatically that children do not need the shot. Do you really think these men knew much that our pseudo-elite health authorities didn't?

Even more strikingly, McCullough warned last July on *The Stew Peters Show* that the so-called Covid vaccines' "mechanism of action is clearly poisonous" and that the drug "is far and away the most lethal, toxic biologic agent ever injected into a human body in American history." Even if some thought he was overstating the case, health authorities knew or should have known something was amiss. Sure, perhaps they could plead ignorance. But, first, this would still likely mean they were guilty of at least criminal negligence. Second, given the abundance of information, the ample red flags, and the financial and political incentives too many had to perpetuate the lie, it's clear some authorities have been operating in bad faith. Not only do they refuse to heed the truth, but they also label it "misinformation" and censor, mock, silence, defame, or fire experts who dare try to liberate the mentally enslaved from their death camp of lies.

Whether we believe the Nuremberg Code or some other standard applies to the Covid nightmare authors, something is for sure. "Never again" is a rallying cry to remember dark history so as to avoid past mistakes, yet it's also a vain hope unless two conditions are met. First, there must be accountability for transgressors. Second, we must be mindful that "history does not repeat itself, but it often rhymes," as the apocryphal saying goes. The wise recognize when the transgression's character is the same even though the cast of characters may look, very, very different.



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on April 1, 2022

Published in the April 25, 2022 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 38, No. 08

---

*Selwyn Duke has written for The New American for more than a decade. He has also written for The Hill, Observer, The American Conservative, WorldNetDaily, American Thinker, and many other print and online publications.*

For more information, see *The New American's* April 11, 2022 "Covid Special Report," particularly "The Jab Jinx" by Rebecca Terrell. To order, visit [www.thenewamerican.com](http://www.thenewamerican.com) or call 800-727-TRUE.



## Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



[Subscribe](#)

### What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.