



Written by [C. Mitchell Shaw](#) on May 6, 2022

Published in the May 30, 2022 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 38, No. 10

Biden Administration Creates Its Own Ministry of Truth

The recent creation of a “Disinformation Governance Board” has raised more than a few eyebrows. And rightly so: The board is eerily similar to the Ministry of Truth from Orwell’s *1984*, and it is the creation of the Department of Homeland Security — a department so *deeply* Deep State that it nearly defines the term.



AP Images

The creation of the board was announced on May 27, when DHS chief Alejandro Mayorkas was answering questions before congressional lawmakers. Invoking the bogeyman of alleged previous “Russian interference” in the 2020 elections, Representative Jim Langevin (D-R.I.) asked the DHS chief what DHS was doing to prevent “misinformation” from skewing the 2022 midterms. Mayorkas answered, “We have just established a disinformation governance board in the Department of Homeland Security to more effectively combat this threat, not only to election security but to our homeland security.”

The timing is highly questionable, not only coming as Democrats prepare for what many expect to be a thorough trouncing in the midterms — due largely to voter response to Biden’s disastrous policies — but also coinciding with Elon Musk’s takeover of Twitter. Musk’s promise to transform Twitter into a “free speech” platform where conservatives will no longer be censored has been met on the Left with dire predictions of the “end of democracy.” Democrats — it appears — believe “democracy” can only prevail when conservatives are routinely silenced in the public square.

But perhaps even more disturbing than the timing is the choice of who will head the new Ministry of Truth: Nina Jankowicz — a “Russian disinformation” expert who served as a disinformation fellow at the Woodrow Wilson Center for International Scholars in Washington, D.C. — will serve as the Arbiter of All Truth.

Jankowicz is a demonstrable enemy of free speech, tweeting on April 25 that “free speech absolutists” cause her to “shudder” because unhindered free speech is harmful to “marginalized communities.”

And by couching her disdain for free speech in terms of support for women and other “marginalized communities,” Jankowicz muddies the water of logic and reason while ticking off another box beloved by the Left. She is a rabid pro-fill-in-the-blank-of-victimhood, bleeding-heart liberal.

And while one would rightly expect the Arbiter of All Truth to know the difference between truth and lies, Jankowicz is little more than a spewer of the party line. Far from differentiating truth from lies — information from misinformation — she merely regurgitates whatever the Left is saying at any given time. And, as do her fellow liberal partisans, she shifts gears and changes direction with the winds of political expediency. For instance, early on in the Covid drama, she vociferously agreed with the party line that masks were unnecessary, tweeting in March of 2020 that the “adtech industry” should stop “placing ads for masks” on “articles about coronavirus,” calling such ads “straight up disinfo!” So, like a good Democrat, Jankowicz was against masks before she was for them.

And during the final presidential debate between Trump and Biden on October 22, 2020, Jankowicz



Written by [C. Mitchell Shaw](#) on May 6, 2022

Published in the May 30, 2022 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 38, No. 10

sided with her fellow partisans in criticizing Trump for the Hunter Biden laptop story, tweeting, “Back on the ‘laptop from hell,’ apparently — Biden notes 50 former natsec officials and 5 former CIA heads that believe the laptop is a Russian influence op.”

And while she has subsequently claimed that she was “livetweeting” during the debate and that the tweet was “a direct quote” from the debate and not “a key to all my views,” she is simply lying. Because the next morning, she tweeted, “I was thinking of pitching a quick piece about the election security section of the debate, but I realized it can be summed up in a tweet,” continuing, “One candidate cogently discussed actual policies for keeping our democracy safe. The other spouted convoluted [sic] conspiracies.” She also called the story of Hunter Biden’s laptop a “Trump campaign product.” Also, the day of the debate, she tweeted that she agreed with doubts about “the provenance of the NY Post’s Hunter Biden story.” In subsequent tweets months later, she continued to refer to the laptop story as “misleading or unsubstantiated claims” pushed by “the Kremlin.”

In the final analysis, the creation of a DHS Disinformation Governance Board — headed by a bleeding-heart liberal, man-hating woman who never met a conservative fact she didn’t accuse of being right-wing misinformation and who has herself unapologetically spread misinformation — is a naked attempt on the part of the Biden administration to control the flow of information. And the reason is obvious: It is the only hope Democrats have of avoiding an electoral bloodbath in the midterms.



Written by [C. Mitchell Shaw](#) on May 6, 2022

Published in the May 30, 2022 issue of [the New American](#) magazine. Vol. 38, No. 10

Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



[Subscribe](#)

What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.