



Written by [R. Cort Kirkwood](#) on February 4, 2022

Pedo Apologist Prof Exiled While Officials Figure Out What To Do With Him

The university professor in New York who sees nothing wrong with adults having sex with 12- and even one-year-olds has been removed from campus.

[Stephen Kershner](#), a philosophy professor at the State University of New York Fredonia, is also forbidden contact with students.

Kershner's bizarre view of adult-child sex went viral this week after the Libs of Tik Tok Twitter feed [pulled back the sheets](#) on recorded interviews about the subject. He has published at least one book about it, and also has written that human beings are not morally responsible for what they do.



fredonia.edu
Stephan Kershner

A message to the SUNY Fredonia community from President Stephen H. Kolison, Jr.
pic.twitter.com/9UG3H5nvZR

— SUNY Fredonia (@FredoniaU) [February 3, 2022](#)

What He Said

Kershner's academic career crashed when [he showed up](#) on Twitter to discuss adult-child sex.

"Imagine that an adult male wants to have sex with a 12-year-old girl," he said in one video interview:

Imagine that she's a willing participant. A very standard, very widely held view is there's something deeply wrong about this. And it's wrong independent of it being criminalized. It's not obvious to me that it is in fact wrong. I think this is a mistake. And I think that exploring why it's a mistake will tell us not only things about adult-child sex and statutory rape, but also about fundamental principles of morality.

□□□ Professor says an adult wanting to have sex with a kid is accepted as being wrong but "it's not obvious to me that it is in fact wrong" pic.twitter.com/ygC5nuHDNa

— Libs of Tik Tok (@libsoftiktok) [February 2, 2022](#)

Nor can Kershner figure out what's wrong with molesting a one-year-old.

"The notion that it's wrong [to have sexual relations] even with a one-year-old, is not quite obvious to me," he said:

There are reports in some cultures of grandmothers fellating their baby boys to calm them down when they're colicky.... The grandmothers believe this actually works. If this were to



Written by [R. Cort Kirkwood](#) on February 4, 2022

be true, it's hard to see what would be wrong with it.

Kershnar see nothing wrong with adult-child sex even if the child does not consent. Legally, a child cannot consent to sex, but in any event, forcing a child to have sex is no different than forcing him or her to do homework or attend a family function.

"And we don't care what they say," Kershnar said.

No Morality

Understandably, school officials are aghast. Late yesterday, SUNY Fredonia President Stephen Kolison said Kershnar had been exiled.

"Effective immediately and until further notice, the professor is being assigned to duties that do not include his physical presence on campus and will not have contact with students while the investigation is ongoing," Kolison said. "I cannot stress strongly enough that the independent viewpoints of this individual professor are in no way representative of the values of the SUNY Fredonia campus," he said.

Maybe, but Kershnar's views aren't a surprise, at least for those who have followed his bizarre publications.

"Nonforcible adult-child sex is thought to be morally wrong in part because it is nonconsensual," he has written. "I argue against this notion. In particular, I reject the accounts of the moral wrongfulness of adult-child sex that rest on the absence of consent, concerns about adult exploitation of children, and the existence of a morally primitive duty against such sex."

It just keeps getting worse pic.twitter.com/meIahuAEVE

— Libs of Tik Tok (@libsoftiktok) [February 2, 2022](#)

In his book on the subject, *Pedophilia and Adult-Child Sex: A Philosophical Analysis*, he argues likewise. "This sex intuitively strikes many people as sick, disgusting, and wrong," the [publicity blurb](#) for his book says. "The problem is that it is not clear whether these judgments are justified and whether they are aesthetic or moral."

Kershnar's view of adult-child sex, however, is unsurprising. He does not believe in right and wrong, as he explained in [Total Collapse: The Case Against Responsibility and Morality](#).

That book "argues that there is no morality and that people are not morally responsible for what they do," the Amazon promotional material says:

In particular, it argues that what people do is neither right nor wrong and that they are neither praiseworthy nor blameworthy for doing it. Morality and moral responsibility lie at the heart of how we view the world.... In this book, the author argues that our views on these matters are false. He presents a series of arguments that threaten to undermine our theoretical and practical worldviews. The philosophical costs of denying moral responsibility and morality are enormous. It does violence to philosophical positions that many people took a lifetime to develop. Worse, it does violence to our everyday view of people. A host of concepts that we rely on daily (praiseworthy, blameworthy, desert, virtue, right, wrong, good, bad, etc.) fail to refer to any property in the world and are thus deeply mistaken. This book is of interest to philosophers, lawyers, and humanities professors as well as people



Written by [R. Cort Kirkwood](#) on February 4, 2022

interested in morality, law, religion, and public policy.

[Kershner also sees nothing wrong](#) with torturing human beings, particularly if they “consent.”

FIRE Defense

The Foundation for Individuals Rights in Education (FIRE) says the First Amendment to the federal Constitution protects Kershner’s views.

“Some might charge that Kershner’s views, if adopted, would be dangerous or lead to the erosion of laws criminalizing sexual abuse of minors,” the group wrote to Kolison:

Kershner’s writing on the subject has argued that sexual conduct with minors *should* be criminalized. His arguments generally concern *why* such conduct *should* be criminalized, with a critical evaluation of whether morality — as distinct from other reasons to prohibit it — is *alone* sufficient to impose criminal sanctions. Yet even if Kershner’s critics are correct in their estimation of his argument, Kershner’s speech would *still* be protected by the First Amendment.



Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



[Subscribe](#)

What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.