



NY Times Editorial Translation: "Every Day Is Hunt Down Conservatives Day Now"

Though known as our "paper of record," *The New York Times* is actually the paper of a broken record with how it reflexively repeats left-wing talking points. The latest example is the Gray Lady's recent publishing of an unsigned editorial entitled "Every Day Is Jan. 6 Now." And since Ashli Babbitt Day is being used by the Left Reichstag-fire style to justify repression of political opponents, one observer notes that this really means, "Every Day Is Hunt Down Conservatives Day Now."



Selwyn Duke

American Thinker's David Zukerman then <u>writes</u>, "The fourth paragraph of this [NYT] editorial, which should be a passage in a political thriller, not a bizarre commentary on political reality, opens: 'It is regular citizens who threaten election officials and other public servants[.]'" Zukerman continues:

The following paragraph is no less execrable in mindset: "In short, the Republic faces an existential threat from a movement that is openly contemptuous of democracy and has shown that it is willing to use violence to achieve its ends."

Remember, The Times is referring to "regular citizens," not to the violence committed by Antifa and BLM in the cause of societal transformational reform to the Repressive State. We must not forget that those store windows boarded up before the 2020 election were boarded up anticipating leftist violence against a re-elected Donald Trump. The left is the source of most political violence in the country — and now the left's megaphones promote the canard that Middle America must be held down to keep from resorting to violence?

I wrote about this phenomenon last February in *The New American's* (TNA's)"<u>Leftists' 'Reichstag Fire' Moment Arrives.</u>" "When someone set the German parliament on fire, the Nazis used it as an excuse to consolidate power and punish opponents," that essay's subtitle reads. "Now Democrats are trying a similar tactic." It has only gotten worse, too.

Pundit Pat Buchanan, also mentioning the *Times* editorial, <u>writes</u> that the Left cannot let go of Ashli Babbitt Day because "Democrats have no other issue on which to run this November." While this is true, there's an even darker aspect to this propaganda.

In fact, the Left's "rhetorical attack against the Right has dire implications for the Republic and shows they're playing for keeps," <u>wrote</u> TNA Tuesday, before pointing out that the Left's new narrative is that Republicans have "become a party of 'authoritarianism.'"

Providing examples, TNA later cited inflammatory rhetoric from commentator Juan Williams (who clearly doesn't learn lessons well, as he was fired from NPR for being politically incorrect but still nurtures that dark phenomenon with his pen). The 86 "percent of registered Republican voters" who would "probably" or "definitely" support President Trump in 2024, he opined, would be voting for "the end of democracy."



Written by **Selwyn Duke** on January 7, 2022



"Williams went on to call the modern GOP a 'cult based on kowtowing to Trump's delusion [that the election was stolen],'" TNA then pointed out. This is ironic since the Left spent every waking moment of Trump's presidency claiming he stole the 2016 election — with Vladimir Putin's help.

Next, a "Vox article titled 'Call it Authoritarianism' claims Republicans' election reform efforts are about rigging elections in their favor to create a one-party system similar to Venezuela's," TNA also related. And an "op-ed at the Courier-Journal states 'Two Kentucky historians agree the GOP is steering the US straight toward authoritarianism.'"

Returning to Buchanan, he notes that what's really being said here "is that the electoral reforms being enacted democratically in red states — requiring voter IDs, for example, and subject to judicial review — are a Republican continuation of the Capitol riot."

Of course, there's method to this madness of opposing common sense electoral security measures. Do you remember when United Nations-linked "election observers" were dispatched to the United States in 2012 to "monitor" alleged Republican vote-suppression attempts? They found no such thing.

Some were shocked, however, that not all our states required voter ID.

But Democrats' complaints tip their hand: If they didn't think our rampant vote fraud (which <u>TNA</u> has <u>reported</u> on <u>extensively</u>) could help them win elections, they wouldn't oppose electoral security and be trying to take vote-fraud national by proposing to federalize elections.

Yet the even-darker aspect to this was articulated chillingly well by Republican Joe Kent, a veteran and Washington State congressional candidate. Commenting on how figures such as Kamala Harris were comparing Ashli Babbitt Day to Pearl Harbor and 9/11, he said yesterday on Fox News' *Tucker Carlson Tonight* that this rhetoric is used because it

allows people to compare Trump supporters to Nazi's, to actual members of Al-Qaeda. And with that imagery comes the justification to turn the tools of the state against them.

... These are very deliberate words. We've seen this playbook before. They are echoes to the lead up to the Iraq War, that they have some intelligence that there's something they can't tell us but we have to trust them; therefore we have to give them the authority to send us into a war, a new war, but that war is here. And that war is against the deplorables, Trump supporters, whatever you want to call it. And that war has allowed them to deprive our citizens of their constitutional rights".

Note here that the playbook for communist subversion (which you don't have to be a communist to employ) lists four steps to the process: demoralization, destabilization, crisis, and normalization. Whether it's being done purposely or not, the reality is that the Left's current fevered narrative — that right-wing malefactors are effecting a coup and we must "act now" to thwart it — destabilizes us further and pushes us closer to the weeks-long *crisis* phase, during which a revolution would occur.

The message in essence is that we must destroy our civil liberties to save them, that we can only be rescued from authoritarianism by giving the "good guys," the Left, authoritarian power. Let's hope that in a time in which bad is called good and good, bad, enough people will recognize that the good guys probably aren't the ones trying to dismantle a good, and great, system.





Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



Subscribe

What's Included?

24 Issues Per Year
Optional Print Edition
Digital Edition Access
Exclusive Subscriber Content
Audio provided for all articles
Unlimited access to past issues
Coming Soon! Ad FREE
60-Day money back guarantee!
Cancel anytime.