



Leftist Media Smear JBS for Opposing Mass Fluoridation Days After Concerning Report on Fluoridation Released

On Thursday, leftist propaganda organ *The Atlantic* magazine published a piece slamming The John Birch Society. Titled "How Far-Right Movements Die," in addition to its bunk premise, this terrific amalgamation of drivel ironically slammed the JBS for our past opposition to mass water fluoridation, just one day after the release of a report that indicted fluoride as being harmful.

"Many Birchers promoted baseless conspiracy theories — fluoridation in the water supply represented, as one Bircher document charged, 'a massive wedge for socialized medicine,'" the *Atlantic* writes.



Paul Dragu

It's true, the JBS's opposition to mass fluoridation was largely based on the premise that government has no business imposing mass medication onto its citizens, no matter how benevolent our aspiring masters believe they are.

We also pulled some early content from a September 1958 edition of *American Opinion*, the predecessor to *The New American*, to see what other concerns we had on the topic:

... the unassailable fact is that fluoridation is a highly controversial and potentially very dangerous program. It forces all the people in the community to absorb into their systems small daily doses of a deadly poison so that the teeth only of children in a certain age bracket may allegedly be helped. There are eminent authorities, including physicians and dentists and entire medical groups, who categorically reject the program as harmful or, at least, as not proved safe.

Now, let's see how baseless our conspiracy theories were.

The day before the *Atlantic* published its piece of cutting-edge journalism, the National Toxicology Program released a <u>draft report</u> linking prenatal and childhood fluoride exposure to reduced IQ in children. According to the report, eight of the nine "high-quality studies examining cognitive or neurodevelopmental outcomes reported associations with fluoride exposure." Furthermore, it said: "There is, however, a large body of evidence on IQ effects in children. There is also some evidence that fluoride exposure is associated with other neurodevelopmental and cognitive effects in children...."

The report was nearly suppressed, but <u>Food and Water Watch sued</u> the Environmental Protection Agency for its release.

A few days after the *Atlantic* piece, on Sunday, the widely read British newspaper *The Guardian* also published a story regarding the Society, titled "Birchers review: how the Republican far right gave us



Written by **Paul Dragu** on March 20, 2023



<u>Trump and DeSantis."</u> It also mentioned our opposition to fluoridation, although it wasn't the main premise of either article.

These recent stories are connected to the release of a book titled *Birchers: How the John Birch Society Radicalized the American Right*, by Matthew Dallek.

Whenever someone publishes a disparaging book on the Society, the floodgates of exposure are flung open. It doesn't matter if the book is good or bad, true or false. What matters is that it reinforces the approved narrative and its authors possess approved views. Last year, a book titled *A Conspiratorial Life: Robert Welch, the John Birch Society, and the Revolution of American Conservatism* received massive exposure in corporate media's most widely read magazines and newspapers. Anyone who's had the displeasure of trying to plow through one page of that book's drunken syntax could see that its disregard for legibility was not a disqualifier. If the opening pages of the recent anti-JBS book are any indication, I feel sorry for whichever of my poor colleagues will have to endure that tangled mess of words.

Speaking of propaganda, we've observed striking similarities between the fluoridation and Covid-19 vaccination campaigns. In both cases, the World Health Organization was involved with American medical authorities in imposing a preventive "medicine" on the population. In both cases many people objected to the program on the basis that it was an example of mandatory socialized medicine and that the "medicine" was toxic and unnecessary. In both cases the medical authorities and other establishment entities, especially media, assured people that the "medicine" was safe and effective. In both cases, the establishment worked hard to impose the "medicine" on everyone. In both cases, the establishment narrative is being increasingly discredited.

Notch another one in "The Birchers Were Right" column. Over the last year, I've written at length about the various warnings and stances we've taken that earned us the label "kooky," "paranoid," "nutty," and, of course, the smearest of smears — "conspiratorial" — but turned out to be very credible.

Our major claim to fame, the idea we've gotten the most flak for, the reason the establishment has worked so hard to destroy our organization, going so far as infiltrating and taking unethical, and perhaps even illegal, actions (as described in the *Atlantic* article above), is that we expose the globalists' plan to impose a tyrannical, one-world government.

Birchers have exposed the plans of the international insiders and organized to stop the intentional destruction of the United States. Today anyone with a pulse who hasn't been brainwashed of any semblance of sense can see this is happening. The collaborative global Covid-19 tyranny campaign opened the eyes of many. It brought to attention people and organizations who have been working toward a New World Order for decades, including the World Economic Forum's You-Will-Own-Nothing-And-Like-It crowd.

The Guardian piece ends with the line, "The John Birch Society is still winning big." These folks look at the American political landscape and they see "radicalism" taking over the Right. And they blame us for it. And we'll certainly take some of the credit. We actually make this case in our <u>BiRCH'N booklet</u>, that people rising up against globalist policies is in part thanks to 65 years of JBS activism.

But their radicalism is our Americanism. Their tired pejoratives are now meaningless. What's so radical about valuing national sovereignty over globalism? What's so radical about standing up to degenerate LGBTQ lobbies? What's so radical about emphasizing state over federal government power? What's so radical about working to secure elections and borders? Having medical freedom? Taking the



Written by **Paul Dragu** on March 20, 2023



government out of education?

Sounds like it's time to get radical, America. Be an Americanist, someone who believes we should restore our government within the restrictions outlined in the U.S. Constitution and the Bill of Rights. Get radically Americanist.

If you'd like more information on The John Birch Society, download our free e-booklet, <u>BiRCH'N: How The John Birch Society Keeps America Free</u>. And if you'd like to join us in our epic undertaking to restore Americanism, apply for membership <u>here</u>.





Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



Subscribe

What's Included?

24 Issues Per Year
Optional Print Edition
Digital Edition Access
Exclusive Subscriber Content
Audio provided for all articles
Unlimited access to past issues
Coming Soon! Ad FREE
60-Day money back guarantee!
Cancel anytime.