



## Amnesty v. Reason

Let's be blunt: Anyone who endorses anything remotely resembling the "comprehensive immigration reform" currently bandied about in Congress is either a fool or a liar.

Amnesty — and make no mistakes about it, "comprehensive immigration reform," "a pathway to citizenship," and whatever other euphemisms its apologists invoke do nothing to change the fact that it *is* amnesty that they favor — is a fool's errand of epic proportions. This becomes obvious once we consider it in light of an analogy from everyday life.

You're a married woman. Chief among the obligations inherent in marriage is that of fidelity. Now, your spouse has chronically failed to fulfill this most basic of duties. Finally, you've had enough. Upon threatening your philandering spouse with divorce, he acknowledges that your marriage is "broken" before swearing to not only to change, but to change *radically*. Not only will he stop cheating, he promises to transform himself into the epitome of the subservient, loyal, and loving husband.

While you would doubtless *want* to believe this, you *could* not do so.

No one could.

Unfortunately, none of the good sense on display here is present in this debate over amnesty — even though the reasoning for the latter is identical to the reasoning of the unfaithful husband or wife.

It is among the most basic obligations of a government to secure its country's borders. As fidelity is essential to preserving the integrity of marriage, so too is border security essential to preserving the integrity of a nation. Indeed, a government that fails to secure its country's borders is unfaithful to its citizens.

Now, according to the Senate Gang of Eight's plan, the government will be expected not only to secure the border, but to see to it that a whole lot of other conditions are satisfied by those who are on "the pathway to citizenship."

There are a few things to note here.

First, if the government either can't or won't fulfill its simplest and most basic of obligations in securing the country's borders now, there is zero reason to accept its assurances that it will fulfill this duty as



Written by [Jack Kerwick, Ph.D.](#) on June 21, 2013

---

well as a bunch of new duties later. As my old martial arts instructor used to say, you've got to learn how to walk before you can learn how to run.

With respect to this issue, our government hasn't yet learned how to walk, or even crawl. But the Gang of Eight and their accomplices in the media would have us believe that with the stroke of a pen, the federal government will instantaneously become a marathon runner.

Second, border security is as big a non-negotiable in governing as fidelity is a non-negotiable in marriage. The citizens of the United States should no more have to negotiate with their government to secure its borders than spouses should have to negotiate with one another to refrain from engaging in adultery. Spouses *owe* it to each other to be faithful. Similarly, the government owes it to its citizens to secure their borders.

However, when Marco Rubio or Chuck Schumer or any other politician favoring amnesty tells us that in order to secure the border we must *first* place millions of illegal immigrants on a "pathway" to citizenship, what they are essentially saying is that we, the people's elected representatives, the government, will *not* discharge our constitutional duty *unless* you go along with what *we* want.

Translation: Border security most definitely *is* negotiable.

And their accomplices in the media, most tragically the so-called "conservative" media, echo this sentiment.

Finally, when Chuck Schumer, Marco Rubio, and their allies in Washington inform us that our immigration system is "broken," they admit, albeit unwittingly, that *they*, Republicans and Democrats alike, broke it. Only now, after decades of breaking the system apart piece by piece, they expect citizens to trust them to construct a new system that is better than ever — a system that will magically solve all our immigration related issues once and forever.

To take seriously such a claim is to expose oneself as a fool. To get others to take it seriously is to expose oneself as a liar.



## Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



### What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.

**Subscribe**