



Zuckerberg Admits Biden-Harris Admin Pressured Facebook

In a letter to House Judiciary Committee Chairman Representative Jim Jordan (R-Ohio), Meta Platforms Founder and CEO Mark Zuckerberg admitted that the Biden-Harris administration was behind Facebook censorship, stating the social-media company was "repeatedly pressured" to censor information related to Covid-19.

Zuckerberg continued, stating the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) warned Facebook that the *New York Post* story about Biden family corruption before the 2020 election was a Russian disinformation operation, resulting in Facebook censoring the story. Zuckerberg noted those allegations were proven to be false:



AP Images Mark Zuckerberg

It's since been made clear that the reporting was not Russian disinformation, and in retrospect, we shouldn't have demoted the story.

In response to the letter, the GOP's House Judiciary X account stated:

Mark Zuckerberg just admitted three things: 1. Biden-Harris Admin "pressured" Facebook to censor Americans. 2. Facebook censored Americans. 3. Facebook throttled the Hunter Biden laptop story. Big win for free speech.

The House Judiciary GOP account also stated Zuckerberg said he would not spend money to influence the 2024 presidential election, <u>stating on X</u>:

Mark Zuckerberg also tells the Judiciary Committee that he won't spend money this election cycle. That's right, no more Zuck-bucks. Huge win for election integrity.







1 Hacker Way Menlo Park, CA 94025 United States

August 26, 2024

The Honorable Jim Jordan Chairman Committee on the Judiciary United States House of Representatives 2138 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Chairman Jordan:

I appreciate the Committee's interest in content moderation on online platforms. As you are aware, Meta has produced thousands of documents as part of your investigation and made a dozen employees available for transcribed interviews. Further to our cooperation with your investigation, I welcome the opportunity to share what I've taken away from this process.

* * *

There's a lot of talk right now around how the U.S. government interacts with companies like Meta, and I want to be clear about our position. Our platforms are for everyone -- we're about promoting speech and helping people connect in a safe and secure way. As part of this, we regularly hear from governments around the world and others with various concerns around public discourse and public safety.

In 2021, senior officials from the Biden Administration, including the White House, repeatedly pressured our teams for months to censor certain COVID-19 content, including humor and satire, and expressed a lot of frustration with our teams when we didn't agree. Ultimately, it was our decision whether or not to take content down, and we own our decisions, including COVID-19-related changes we made to our enforcement in the wake of this pressure. I believe the government pressure was wrong, and I regret that we were not more outspoken about it. I also think we made some choices that, with the benefit of hindsight and new information, we wouldn't make today. Like I said to our teams at the time, I feel strongly that we should not compromise our content standards due to pressure from any Administration in either direction -- and we're ready to push back if something like this happens again.

In a separate situation, the FBI warned us about a potential Russian disinformation operation about the Biden family and Burisma in the lead up to the 2020 election. That fall, when we saw a *New York Post* story reporting on corruption allegations involving then-Democratic presidential nominee Joe Biden's family, we sent that story to fact-checkers for review and temporarily demoted it while waiting for a reply. It's since been made clear that the reporting was not Russian disinformation, and in retrospect, we shouldn't have demoted the story. We've changed our policies and processes to make sure this doesn't happen again -- for instance, we no longer temporarily demote things in the U.S. while waiting for fact-checkers.







Apart from content moderation, I want to address the contributions I made during the last presidential cycle to support electoral infrastructure. The idea here was to make sure local election jurisdictions across the country had the resources they needed to help people vote safely during a global pandemic. I made these contributions through the Chan Zuckerberg Initiative. They were designed to be non-partisan—spread across urban, rural, and suburban communities. Still, despite the analyses I've seen showing otherwise, I know that some people believe this work benefited one party over the other. My goal is to be neutral and not play a role one way or another—or to even appear to be playing a role. So I don't plan on making a similar contribution this cycle.

Respectfully,

/s/ Mark Zuckerberg

Mark Zuckerberg Founder, Chairman & CEO Meta Platforms, Inc.

cc: The Honorable Jerrold Nadler, Ranking Member





Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



Subscribe

What's Included?

24 Issues Per Year
Optional Print Edition
Digital Edition Access
Exclusive Subscriber Content
Audio provided for all articles
Unlimited access to past issues
Coming Soon! Ad FREE
60-Day money back guarantee!
Cancel anytime.