



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on March 8, 2025

New Sentencing Rules Could Give White Brits Longer Sentences Than “Minorities” for SAME Crimes

In the United States, DEI — diversity, equity, and inclusion — has received pushback, and many hope DEI will DIE. In Britain, though, the phenomenon may be alive and well and poised to intensify what’s already a two-tiered justice system. A case in point is new sentencing guidelines that would give Muslims and other “minorities” shorter sentences than whites for the *same crimes*.

Per [GB News](#):

Is Britain’s legal system now officially anti-white, anti-Christian, anti-male and anti-heterosexual?

Today every magistrate was sent an email telling them there were new sentencing guidelines that they should enforce when considering sending someone to prison.

That seems to enshrine two tier justice into law. Potentially one sentence for white, Christian, straight men, and one sentence for ethnic minorities, cultural minorities and trans people.

You can see it in black and white.

When deciding on sentencing, the magistrate should take into consideration if the guilty party is, and I quote: “From an ethnic minority, cultural minority and/or faith minority community...”

Why? What does that mean?

There are two explanations for this, in my view: One — This is the bigotry of low expectations — “Oh, because somebody is an ethnic minority they are less likely to be able to understand the law? They must have had a harder life?” Absolute load of rubbish.



Michał Chodyra/iStock/Getty Images Plus



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on March 8, 2025

The second: Straight-up, anti-white racism.

This is where an astute cultural observer may say, “I’ll take ‘Straight-up, anti-white racism’ for 500, Alex.”

A Now-old Story

After all, anti-white bias and wokeness generally in Britain, and beyond, are nothing new. For example, British authorities [ignored the rape and brutalization](#) of tens of thousands of white girls for over a decade. Why?

Because the perpetrators were mainly Muslim Pakistani men.

In fact, one whistleblower was actually given a telling warning. “You must never [again] refer to Asian [Muslim] men,” [she was told](#). And “[your] awareness of ethnic issues” needs to be raised.

Some may now say this doesn’t reflect anti-white bias. Rather, it’s driven by politically correct social pressure to avoid being perceived as “racist.” The issue, though, is that there’s overlap.

That is, the double standard benefiting “minorities” is often justified with the theory that they’re “oppressed.” The oppressors, of course, would be the majority groups — such as whites.

Moreover, generally speaking, those charged with enforcing injustice would feel bad if they accepted they were complicit in such. So they rationalize. They convince themselves that, yeah, those civil-rights theorists are correct: This or that group has been oppressive! Balancing the scales is righteous. They then can proceed with the approval of their own consciences — and sleep better at night.

The Good News

To be clear, since this story has been misreported, the new British guidelines aren’t a “law.” Rather, they’re rules issued by an entity called the Sentencing Council. This body, however, is independent from U.K.’s legislators; meaning, the latter cannot override its decision under current law. (Apparently, Britain has a Deep State bureaucracy, too.)

The good news, though, is that many British politicians are condemning the guidelines. As the left-wing *Guardian* [reports](#):

Ministers have criticised plans to make the ethnic background of offenders a greater factor in determining whether to jail them, saying they amounted to a “two-tier system” of justice.

Sarah Jones, the industry minister, said the government was opposed to guidance published on Wednesday by the Sentencing Council for England and Wales, which is an independent arm’s-length body.

... Asked about the new guidelines on LBC radio, Jones said: “We disagree with this decision. I think it’s really important in the country that people are trusting in the justice system that we have and that means you can’t have a two-tier system....”

Shabana Mahmood, the justice secretary, said overnight that she would write to the Sentencing Council to “register my displeasure and to recommend reversing this change to guidance.”



Written by [Selwyn Duke](#) on March 8, 2025

She added: “As someone who is from an ethnic minority background myself, I do not stand for any differential treatment before the law, for anyone of any kind. There will never be a two-tier sentencing approach under my watch.”

The video below features Robert Jenrick, the Shadow Secretary of State for Justice of the U.K., inveighing against the guidelines. (Relevant portion begins at 0:35.)

BREAKING □□□

Now in the UK, it is becoming law that Muslims and minorities are to be given lesser prison sentences than white British people.

You can't make this up. That is what they are doing. Here it is in parliament today.

pic.twitter.com/WtncuKGTGw

— Wall Street Mav (@WallStreetMav) [March 5, 2025](#)

Entrenched Error

The BBC points out that the Sentencing Council could defy Mahmood and the other politicians, leaving them with a dilemma. They could override the council via legislation and be subjected to woke outrage. Or they could accept the guidelines' institution and be accused of enabling two-tier justice.

In reality, though, and despite Mahmood's claims, two-tiered (in)justice is — and, more significantly, two-tiered cultural norms are — already status quo in Britain. The aforementioned [rape-gang scandal](#) illustrates this perhaps ideally. In fact, those phenomena are status quo throughout the Western world.

Just consider the 2021 story about a Texas town that used teen stupidity to [justify anti-white social engineering](#). Or consider the leftist accusation, currently [in the news](#), that mourning brutally murdered white children amounts to “white supremacy.”

It all goes back to something late radio giant Rush Limbaugh said decades ago. All the politically correct, affirmative-action programs, quotas, and set-asides are not *primarily* driven by compassion.

Instead, he said, they're about “get-even-with-'em-ism.”

(Of course, there are power motives among politicians, too.)

Limbaugh was correct. Moreover, also realize that at issue is a cultural movement, one pervading most everything, whose adherents are conditioned to mistake their woke imperatives for virtue. Thus, they often proceed with a zealotry born of self-righteousness.

Remedying this takes time — winning one election isn't enough. There must be a *cultural sea change*. The woke social engineers must be removed from power when identified, and then we must apply what was prescribed decades ago for quashing traditionalist dissent.

Social pressure must be used to silence woke agitation so that its corresponding theories will be unwelcome in polite society. And when pondering this, don't ever forget: Culture wars are a zero-sum game — you either win, or you lose.



Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



[Subscribe](#)

What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.