



Tucker: Source Has Seen the CIA's JFK Assassination Files — Says the Agency Killed Kennedy

Ever since that fateful day of November 22, 1963, controversy has swirled around the assassination of President John F. Kennedy. Entities ranging from the mafia to the Cubans to then-Vice President Lyndon Johnson to the KGB to the CIA have been said to have been complicit in his death. In fact, in 2013 CNN related author Vincent Bugliosi as having stated that, at one time or another, people "have accused 42 groups, 82 assassins and 214 people of being involved in the assassination." But now a shocking new report lends credence to one of these theories.

A source who has reportedly seen files the CIA is still withholding from the public — withholding in violation of the law — said when asked if the CIA itself killed Kennedy, "The answer is yes. I believe they were involved. It's a whole different country from what we thought it was. It's all fake."



AP Images John F. Kennedy

This shocking claim was made yesterday evening by Fox News commentator Tucker Carlson. Introducing the topic, Carlson stated:

Not long after Jack Ruby shot Lee Harvey Oswald [the assassin who shot Kennedy] on camera in the basement of Dallas police headquarters, a lot of Americans started to have some questions about the Kennedy assassination. It was, you have to admit, a pretty extraordinary sequence of events. A lone gunman murders the president of the United States. And then, less than 48 hours later, that lone gunman is himself murdered by another lone gunman.

Carlson then mentioned that the next year, the White House released the Warren Commission Report, which related the findings of the investigation into Kennedy's death. The conclusion was was that Oswald and Ruby acted alone.

This didn't stop suspicions that this may not be the whole story, and, with time, this mistrust grew — and perhaps with good reason. For the CIA eventually admitted under duress, nearly 50 years later, "that, in fact, it withheld information from investigators about its relationship with Lee Harvey Oswald," stated Carlson.

The commentator then related that a psychiatrist who evaluated Ruby in his prison cell and labeled the man insane was working for the CIA — and that this information was largely suppressed. Carlson





further stated:

In 1976, long forgotten, the House of Representatives impaneled a special committee to reinvestigate the JFK assassination. Their bipartisan conclusion? Jack Kennedy was almost certainly murdered as the result of a conspiracy. But the question is, a conspiracy by whom? Well, the obvious suspect would be the CIA. Why else would the agency withhold critical evidence from investigators? Is there a benign explanation for that, for maintaining this level of secrecy for this many years? Not that we're aware of. And it is illegal.

In 1992, Congress passed the President John F. Kennedy Assassination Records Collection Act. That act mandated full disclosure of all documents by 2017, 54 years after JFK was killed. The last administration promised to comply fully with that law, but under intense pressure from CIA Director Mike Pompeo, withheld, in the end, thousands of pages of CIA documents.

Today, this afternoon, the Biden administration did exactly the same thing. That would be thousands of pages of documents after nearly 60 years, after the death of every single person involved. But we still can't see them. Clearly, it's not to protect any person. They're all dead. It's to protect an institution. But why?

Well, today we decided to find out. We spoke to someone who had access to these still-hidden CIA documents, a person who is deeply familiar with what they contained. We asked this person directly, "Did the CIA have a hand in the murder of John F. Kennedy, an American president?" And here's the reply we received, verbatim: "The answer is yes. I believe they were involved. It's a whole different country from what we thought it was. It's all fake."

It's hard to imagine a more jarring response than that. Again, this is not a "conspiracy theorist" that we spoke to. Not even close. This is someone with direct knowledge of the information that once again is being withheld from the American public. And the answer we received was unequivocal: Yes, the CIA was involved in the assassination of the president.

Carlson's video segment is below.

Carlson then pointed out that this means what an increasing number of Americans have learned, especially during President Trump's tenure and after the 2020 election: There is a Deep State, a permanent Washington bureaucracy — which troublingly includes the intel agencies — that operates beyond democratic processes and thus is unanswerable to the people. This isn't opinion, but fact.

Consider that in early 2017, far-Left Senator Chuck Schumer (D-N.Y.) addressed President Trump's picking of a fight with the intel agencies and said he was "dumb." "Let me tell you," Schumer <u>warned</u>, "You take on the intelligence community — they have six ways from Sunday at getting back at you" (video below).

Schumer smirked while making the comment. But is it really funny? Whatever one thinks of Trump or any other president, one might hope that when there's a disagreement between the commander in chief — the executive branch's head — and the intel agencies, which technically serve *under* him in that branch, there would be no dispute: The unelected spy-agency officials would do their duty and yield to an elected president.



Written by **Selwyn Duke** on December 16, 2022



This clearly isn't the case. Another example was when the FBI approached social media in 2020 and claimed the Hunter Biden laptop revelation was Russian disinformation, which led to the story's censorship. Yet the FBI had to know better because *they had possession of the laptop*. They clearly were manipulating an American election, and it worked: 16 percent of Biden voters polled said they'd have reconsidered their vote had they known about the story. That alone could have turned the entire election.

Whatever one believes about the Kennedy assassination — and, for all we know, Carlson's source could be lying — the same imperial-intel-agency phenomenon is apparent. By not having released all the Kennedy assassination documents by 2017 as Congress had dictated, the CIA is in violation of the law. It is acting not only as a fourth branch of government, but arguably as the most powerful one.

Some may argue, though probably not plausibly, that releasing certain records could reveal "methods" and imperil "national security." Even if true, however, what's the greater good? "National security" is meaningless if our Republic isn't secure, and it can't be when the people's will is thwarted by Deep State intel operatives.

Remember, when hearing about national security we should always ask: What are we trying to secure? Addendum: Below is an interesting and moving four-minute video about the Kennedy assassination.





Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



Subscribe

What's Included?

24 Issues Per Year
Optional Print Edition
Digital Edition Access
Exclusive Subscriber Content
Audio provided for all articles
Unlimited access to past issues
Coming Soon! Ad FREE
60-Day money back guarantee!
Cancel anytime.