



# Arizona and Nevada and the Slow-count Vote-fraud Technique

Brazil, with the world's sixth-largest population, produced the results of its recent election on the day of the vote. Florida, with our nation's third-largest population, delivered its midterm results on Election Day. So did Texas, our second-most-populous state, with almost 30 million residents. Yet Nevada, with approximately a tenth as many people (three million), and Arizona, with about seven million, are still counting votes. What's wrong with this picture?

The "charitable" interpretation says it's incompetence. The rationalization-born one, prevalent in mainstream media, is that it's "normal." But other observers have a very different explanation: vote fraud. To be precise, it's the slow-count vote-fraud technique.



Gage Skidmore/Wikimedia Commons Arizona gubernatorial candidate Kari Lake

The races in Arizona and Nevada are most significant. If the Republicans win the two Senate seats up for grabs, they'll control that chamber of Congress (they currently have 49 seats secured for next year; 51 are needed for a majority). The Democrats currently have 48 seats assured, meaning that wins in the two states would give them 50. Capturing the December 6 run-off in Georgia between incumbent Democratic Senator Raphael Warnock and Republican challenger Herschel Walker, which the Democrats are perhaps favored to do, would give them 51. Regardless, even 50 allows them to control the body, as the vice president, Kamala Harris, gets to cast a tie-breaking vote when the Senate is deadlocked 50-50.

(Note: Some media have already called the Arizona Senate race for Democrat Mark Kelly.)

Moreover, the Arizona gubernatorial race being contested will perhaps assuredly decide which party wins that state in the 2024 presidential election. More on this later.

Of course, when races are undecided, with razor-thin margins separating the candidates, one might suppose that each party would win on average 50 percent of the time. But what's the reality?

Fox News commentator Tucker Carlson reported on this yesterday evening. Pointing out "that the mechanics of our elections are not working, almost exclusively in states run by Democrats," he mentioned that his team analyzed 13 recent political races in which delays were publicized. The result was hardly coin-toss-like.

Rather, Democrats captured 10 of the 13 races — a 77 percent winning margin. Is this just luck? So, to recap, these vote-counting problems occur almost exclusively in Democrat-run states, lead to







delays, and result in wins for Democrats the vast majority of the time. Coincidence?

This is nothing new, either. In presidential elections, for example, a race in a state would be close, and all the localities would have their results in — except for one or two. These would always, another coincidence perhaps, be large cities/counties run by Democrats, such as Philadelphia in Pennsylvania or Fulton County, Georgia. It also wouldn't be uncommon to hear about a "new batch of ballots" that had to be counted and which, miraculously, would break heavily for the Democratic candidate and put him "over the top," thus delivering a victory.

Carlson provides a prime example, involving the 2018 midterms, during which the Democrats seized Congress. He stated that in the election in Orange County, California,

Republican congressional candidates in three of the four Republican-held districts in Orange County had huge leads on Election Day. But in the weeks following the election, those leads disappeared; they evaporated. In the end, Democrats flipped each of those seats in Orange County, which not that long ago was a very conservative area — in some places [it] still is. So how did that happen?

Well, the *San Francisco Chronicle* reported that 250,000 vote-by-mail drop-off ballots came in. Many of those ballots were brought into polling stations by paid ballot harvesters. The *Orange County Register* put it this way, quote, "People were carrying stacks of 100 and 200 of them. We had multiple people calling to ask if these people were allowed to do this." But, of course, they were allowed. That was the point.

Note that ballot harvesting in California — the electoral-corruption technique that reportedly enabled the Mexico's PRI party to hold power for 70 years — is *legal*.

Carlson provided other examples of the slow-count vote-fraud technique as well. And now we're seeing slow counts in Arizona and Nevada. About this Carlson asserts that it doesn't matter if the cause is incompetence or fraud — regardless, it means the system is by definition "flaky" and unreliable. "Would you let Maricopa County [Arizona] election officials fly your aircraft?" he asks (video below).

So in Arizona and Nevada, races that will shape Congress and help determine how all of us are governed, the count goes on — and on and on and on. Election officials keep postponing the results' delivery; while first having claimed they'd have them this week, they now say we won't know till next week. Of course, Joe Biden had warned of this and told us to be "patient," in what many construed as an effort to pressure us into accepting a stolen election.

Aside from next year's Congress, this election will also influence the 2024 presidential contest in a way generally not considered. I <u>wrote on Wednesday</u> about how the much-anticipated "red wave" *did* materialize in Florida, partially because Governor Ron DeSantis had clamped down hard on vote fraud there. Kari Lake, locked in a tight race for Arizona's governorship, is in DeSantis's mold and *would* likewise root out electoral fraud in her state. This would make it highly unlikely, in the least, that the Democrats would carry Arizona in 2024.

Yet if Lake's opponent, Katie Hobbs, wins, vote fraud will be further institutionalized, making it impossible for the Republicans to win the state in 2024. This is how significant governorships can be.

Note here that Hobbs is Arizona's secretary of state, the official in charge of *running and certifying the state's elections*. Also note that Lake and Senate candidate Adam Laxalt (R-Nev.) were both on TV



### Written by **Selwyn Duke** on November 11, 2022



recently saying they were confident they'd win; most of the uncounted ballots were from GOP voters, they said, who dropped them off personally on Election Day because they were afraid to mail them in. Yet since then — during the last day — Lake's 15,000 vote deficit has increased to approximately 26,000, and Laxalt's 15,000 vote lead has shrunk to about 8,000.

Of course, as with taking days to deliver results, this is all "normal" — in the sense that Democratic vote fraud has become the norm.





## **Subscribe to the New American**

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



## **Subscribe**

#### What's Included?

24 Issues Per Year
Optional Print Edition
Digital Edition Access
Exclusive Subscriber Content
Audio provided for all articles
Unlimited access to past issues
Coming Soon! Ad FREE
60-Day money back guarantee!
Cancel anytime.