



Biden SCOTUS Nominee Doesn't Know What a Woman Is. Lawyer: How Can She Settle Discrimination Cases?

Judge Ketanji Brown Jackson, President Biden's nominee to replace retiring U.S. Supreme Court Justice Stephen Breyer, tacitly admitted yesterday that she must recuse herself from helping decide sex discrimination cases.

Despite what Biden says are Jackson's surpassing intellect and nonpareil legal talents, she confessed that she doesn't know what a woman is.

The reason: She is not a professional biologist.



AP Images
Ketanji Brown Jackson

SEN. BLACKBURN: "Can you provide a definition of the word 'woman'?"

JACKSON: "No, I can't"

BLACKBURN: "You can't?"

JACKSON: "I'm not a biologist"
pic.twitter.com/i7Rg83z5Y4

— RNC Research
(@RNCResearch) [March 23, 2022](#)

What Do I Know?

Jackson confessed during questions from Tennessee's GOP Senator Marsha Blackburn.

Blackburn asked Jackson about her praise for the "transformative power" of a private school's "progressive education," which includes teaching kindergartners that they can change their "gender."
"Do you agree that our schools should teach children that they can choose their gender?"

Jackson said she did not remember praising the school.

Then Blackburn read a quote from late Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg, who wrote the disastrous [SCOTUS decision](#) that forced Virginia Military Institute to admit women. Even Ginsburg admitted that men and women cannot change: "Physical differences between men and women, however, are enduring."

"Do you agree with Justice Ginsburg that there are physical differences between men and women that are enduring?" Blackburn asked.



Written by [R. Cort Kirkwood](#) on March 23, 2022

Sen. [@MarshaBlackburn](#): "Do you agree with Justice Ginsburg that there are physical difference between men and women that are enduring?"

Ketanji Brown Jackson: *struggles to respond* pic.twitter.com/o1myU7MIRp

— Tea Party Patriots (@TPPatriots) [March 23, 2022](#)

Jackson said she isn't familiar with the case and wouldn't answer.

Then Blackburn delivered the final blow:

Blackburn: *Can you provide a definition for the word "woman"?*

Jackson: *Can I provide a definition, no. I can't.*

Blackburn: *You can't?*

Jackson: *Not in this context. I'm not a biologist.*

Blackburn: *The meaning of the word woman is so unclear and controversial that you can't give me a definition?*

Jackson: *Senator, in my work as a judge, what I do is I address disputes. If there is a dispute about a definition, people make arguments and I look at the law and I decide.*

No Settling Discrimination Cases

Conservative lawyer Harmeet K. Dhillon observed the obvious. If Jackson doesn't know what a woman is, how can she decide sex discrimination cases?

How do you adjudicate Title VII claims without being able to answer this question? Title IX?

How do you adjudicate Title VII claims without being able to answer this question? Title IX? <https://t.co/VicNi0Yrjj>

— Harmeet K. Dhillon (@pnjaban) [March 23, 2022](#)

Those sections of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 prohibit sex discrimination [in employment](#) and [education](#).

"Judge Jackson sat in judgment of thousands of people in court," Dhillon said. She continued:

In each of these cases, judges are required to make credibility determinations. If a witness answered a question in this flippant/fake way, they'd be risking an admonition or even warning of contempt of court/

2/Defense counsel: "Security guard, at the time did you say it was a man, or a woman, driving the getaway car?"

Witness: "I'm not a biologist. ☹️"

Would that answer fly in District Judge Jackson's courtroom? It would not. And the witness would have no credibility. Case closed.



Written by [R. Cort Kirkwood](#) on March 23, 2022

2/Defense counsel: “Security guard, at the time did you say it was a man, or a woman, driving the getaway car?”

Witness: “I’m not a biologist. ☹️”

Would that answer fly in District Judge Jackson’s courtroom? It would not. And the witness would have no credibility. Case closed.

— Harmeet K. Dhillon (@pnjaban) [March 23, 2022](#)

Replies to Dhillon took Jackson’s logic to its conclusion.

“How does she adjudicate a personal injury claim when both parties present conflicting medical report,” a user asked. “Does she say she can’t because she is not a doctor.”

Or more simply how does she adjudicate a personal injury claim when both parties present conflicting medical report. Does she say she can’t because she is not a doctor.

— Erik Grzela (@ErikGrzela) [March 23, 2022](#)

Tweeted another:

She should have asked her (as a follow up) “are you a woman? How do you know you aren’t a biologist”

She should have asked her (as a follow up) “are you a woman? How do you know you aren’t a biologist”

— Ian Moreno (@IanMoreno77) [March 23, 2022](#)

“Joe Biden committed to nominate a black women [sic] to the Supreme Court,” a third tweeted:

Yesterday, Judge Jackson was unable to define “woman”. At today’s hearing, someone should ask her if she is a woman. If she says no or is unsure, Biden needs to withdraw her nomination.

Joe Biden committed to nominate a black women to the Supreme Court. Yesterday, Judge Jackson was unable to define “woman”. At today’s hearing, someone should ask her if she is a woman. If she says no or is unsure, Biden needs to withdraw her nomination.

[@denniskneale](#)

— David Williams (@DbwDavid) [March 23, 2022](#)

Blackburn’s final remarks to Jackson concerned [“Lia” Thompson’s “victory”](#) in the NCAA’s 500-yard freestyle swimming championship. Thompson, a “transgender” woman who swims for the University of Pennsylvania, defeated University of Virginia’s Emma Weyant.

A video posted to Twitter features a British woman arguing with a young Asian man — wearing a mask, of course — in the natatorium.

“I’m a woman, that’s not a woman.” she said, pointing to the pool.



Written by [R. Cort Kirkwood](#) on March 23, 2022

“Are you a biologist,” the man asked.

“Oh, my God,” the woman replied. “I’m not a vet, but I know what a dog is. ... I’m not an astrophysicist but I know what space is.”

“I’m a woman, that is not a woman”

“Are you a biologist..?”

“I'm not a vet, but I know what a dog is... You rely on stupid arguments because you don't have an argument”.. pic.twitter.com/IXPyEPKr7z

— Pelham (@Resist_05) [March 18, 2022](#)



Subscribe to the New American

Get exclusive digital access to the most informative, non-partisan truthful news source for patriotic Americans!

Discover a refreshing blend of time-honored values, principles and insightful perspectives within the pages of "The New American" magazine. Delve into a world where tradition is the foundation, and exploration knows no bounds.

From politics and finance to foreign affairs, environment, culture, and technology, we bring you an unparalleled array of topics that matter most.



What's Included?

- 24 Issues Per Year
- Optional Print Edition
- Digital Edition Access
- Exclusive Subscriber Content
- Audio provided for all articles
- Unlimited access to past issues
- Coming Soon! Ad FREE
- 60-Day money back guarantee!
- Cancel anytime.

Subscribe